council on environmental quality

102 monitor

environmental impact statements

Volume 5, No. 11
December, 1975

PETERSON ADDRESSES WORLD POPULATION SOCIETY

During World Population Year, 1974, 66 million human beings were added to the total population. Calling population growth "the world's most pressing problem," CEQ Chairman Russell Peterson, before the World Population Society, recommended making "every year a year for world population action." (page 3)

CONTACTS LIST REVISED

CEQ has recently updated its directory of NEPA contacts in Federal agencies. The new list begins on page 23.

FAA, USCG, UMTA, HUD FILE STATEMENTS OF PREPARATION

Pursuant to CEQ Guidelines §1500.6 (e), Federal agencies are required to file reports of actions for which impact statements are in preparation as an "early notice system" for the public. Four agency reports begin on page 58.

CEQ PUBLICATIONS LIST UPDATED

A new list of CEQ publications and information on obtaining copies begins on page 60.

CONTENTS

Address by Dr. Peterson	3
Contacts List	23
Statements of Preparation	58
Publications List	60
State-County Index of Statements Filed During November, 1975	69
Agency Index of Statements Filed During November, 1975	75
EISs Filed with CEQ During November, 1975	79
Summary	126
EPA Listings	127
Subscription Information	148

A QUIET SENSE OF URGENCY

Progress Since Bucharest -- and Beyond

Address by Russell W. Peterson, Chairman
Council on Environmental Quality

Before the World Population Society, Mayflower Hotel, Washington, D.C.

November 19, 1975

As many of you will remember, 1974 was designated by the U.N. General Assembly as "World Population Year." Now that the results are in, a cynic might conclude that the "year" was a smashing success -- but in the wrong direction. During those 12 months, we added 66 million more human beings to our number -- more than 180,000 a day, or more than two births per second. Even those who are not cynics, those who are constructively engaged in the global effort to halt population growth, must wonder if last year's sense of urgency had any effect. Only a year after the World Population Conference in Bucharest, it appears that population growth -- the world's most pressing problem -- has become its forgotten crisis.

There are moments when I share this depressing viewpoint. And yet I am not at all sure it is accurate. On the contrary, I believe we are making progress -- not as rapidly as all of us would like, to be sure, but genuine progress nonetheless. I hope

today to bring the doubtful and despairing among us to share my guarded optimism, for a belief in eventual success is essential to motivate the quiet, unrelenting year-in-and year-out work we must perform for the world.

First, I would like to outline the dimensions of the population crisis as I see it. In 1960, world population reached 3 billion. This year, it reached 4 billion. And at present rates of population increase, it will reach 5 billion by 1985. If your minds work like mine, such figures -- ominous though they sound -- will draw a blank. Most of us are unable to visualize a billion of anything. Hence, I've had to approach the notion of four billion people in a roundabout way, in terms of the demands that many people make upon global resources.

For example, what does such a population -- and such a rate of population increase -- mean for food production?

It would be a statistical nightmare to compute the amounts of everything -- from wheat to fish to vegetables -- required to support four billion people. However, grain offers a useful index to overall food demand: 50% of the world's cultivated land is devoted to the production of grain; and -- either directly, in the form of cereals, or indirectly, as animal products -- grain contributes well over 50% of the energy in the human diet.

In 1971 -- at that time, a record year -- world grain harvest totalled 40.9 billion bushels. Perhaps because he has the same trouble with the word "billion" that I do, Dr. Norman Borlaug -- who won the Nobel Prize for his contribution to "The Green Revolution" -- converted that figure into more graphic terms. According to his calculations, 40.9 billion bushels is the equivalent of a large road or super highway of grain, circling the earth at the equator, with a roadbed 6 feet thick and 55 feet wide. That amount of grain would satisfy the earth's population, at 1971 levels, for one year. It would be totally consumed each year, and thus would have to be replaced each year in its entirety. Moreover, because of population increase, we would have to add to this highway each year another 625 miles just to hold per capita nutrition at the 1971 level -- which itself was inadequate.

Consider the demands that such a highway of grain places on global carrying capacity. About 30 percent of the earth's surface is land. But because so much of that area is swamp, tundra, desert, mountain slope, and so forth, only about one acre in ten is suitable for cultivation. This works out to 65 one-hundredths of an acre per person.

In the U.S., we consider one acre per capita the minimum necessary for a decent standard of living. It is clear that population pressures in other countries, less fortunate than ours, are further subtracting from the fraction of land available to support each person. And in the United States, we continue paving over our agricultural land for highways, parking lots, shopping centers and airports. Between 1960 and 1970, we shifted 2,000 acres every day from rural to urban use.

Consider one other vital constituent of food production; water. It takes 1,500 pounds of water to produce one pound of wheat grain. But higher living standards and industrialization are swallowing larger and larger amounts of our water supply. In the early 1900's, the average U.S. home consumed 10 gallons of water per person per day. By 1973, the American home was using 180 gallons a day. Increased use by industry, agriculture, municipalities, and so forth boosts this further, to a grand total of 1,270 gallons of water per person daily.

Prior to World War II, every geographic region of the earth, with the single exception of Western Europe, exported grain. Latin America exported almost twice as much as North America, and Eastern Europe -- including the Soviet Union -- exported as much as Canada and the U.S. combined.

Today, only North America, Australia, and New Zealand export grain, and North America dominates world grain export by a margin of almost 12-to-1. A number of factors have contributed to make importers of the rest of the world, but population growth is the major factor.

In 1950, for example, North America and Latin America had about the same population; 163 million and 168 million, respectively. But in the intervening 25 years between then and now, while North America's population growth slowed substantially, Latin America's escalated. Several Latin American nations -- including Mexico, Peru, Venezuela, and Brazil -- had growth-rates of 3 percent a year.

One way of viewing the significance of such growth is this: If North America's population had expanded at the same rate, the U.S. and Canada would be struggling today to provide enough grain for their own people. Instead, next year, we will have 94 million metric tons of surplus to export, while Latin America will have to spend precious capital to import 3 million tons.

As far as I know, nobody has a firm, scientifically demonstrable estimate of the maximum human population our globe can support. But we do know that in some regions of the earth -- notably in the Sahel, in Africa -- some of the local carrying capacity has been destroyed for the indefinite

future. We know that in other regions, large numbers of people depend totally on international food aid for survival. And we know that last year, UNICEF -- the United Nations Children's Fund -- declared a state of emergency for 15 million children in the poorest nations. According to various estimates, between one-third and one-half of the world's people are chronically undernourished; more than 10,000 die weekly of shortage.

Even though we have no precise figure for the earth's human carrying capacity, we would surely be justified in concluding that we are approaching the limit. Considering the amount of human suffering that has already been caused by overpopulation in some areas, we might wonder how anyone could contest the necessity for moderating population growth.

And yet, some people do -- for good reasons, for bad reasons, and for cynical reasons. Other people simply cannot work up to any sense of urgency about the problem. This latter attitude, I think, characterizes most Americans. Understanding why some people think as they do about population growth, and understanding why other people -- such as ourselves -- don't think about it much at all, requires a look backward.

A few months ago, a team of anthropologists found the fossilized remains of a human female in Ethiopia. They estimate that she was 18 years old when she died. They estimate that she died three million years ago.

Thus, the human species has been reproducing for at least that long. Yet our global population did not reach a billion until 1830 A.D. Why, if it took us that long to number one billion, have we added a fourth billion since 1960 -- only 15 years?

The reason, as you probably know, is not high birth rates, but low death-rates. In past centuries, the average birth-rate around the world was higher than it is now. But the death-rate of newborn infants and young children was also extremely high. In addition, because of the primitive state of man's medicine, human life expectancy was low. Thus the introduction of modern medicine and public health measures, beginning in the 18th century, set the stage for the "demographic transition" -- a sudden sharp disparity triggered a population explosion.

But in the industrializing nations of Europe and North America, that did not happen. Following a few decades of social adjustment, the birth-rates in those nations began to decline. In the United States, for example -- with the

single exception of a "baby boom" following World War II -- the birth-rate has declined steadily since 1825. At present, annual population growth rates in the developed countries approximate five-tenths of one percent -- about what they were before the Industrial Revolution. A few nations, including East Germany, West Germany, and Luxembourg, have actually achieved zero population growth.

In most developing nations, by contrast, birth-rates have not declined significantly with the reduction in mortality rates brought about by public health improvements. Beginning in Latin America in the 1920's, and in the rest of the world from 1940 to the present, new public health measures -- particularly a massive UN effort to eliminate malaria -- cut death-rates in half ... from 35 per thousand population to about 18 per thousand. Birth-rates, however, remained essentially unchanged. In consequence, population grew rapidly -- from five-tenths of one per cent to the current level of 2.5 to 3.5 percent. Three percent may sound modest -- but a population growing at 3 percent annually doubles every 23 years. The fact that such growth-rates are being maintained in some nations without a major famine is a tribute to their agriculture.

Now, however, it is clear that population-growth in some nations exceeds carrying capacity. Most developing nations retain their predominantly agricultural base; hence, children continue to be valued as workers in agriculture or other labor-intensive occupations. In addition, because most developing nations lack any organized provision for the elderly, children are still regarded as social security -- as a sort of insurance against deprivation in old age.

Thus a destructive cycle is set up. Developing nations find it difficult to generate a capital surplus because everything they produce is consumed by a rapidly growing population. Lacking that capital surplus they cannot purchase the technology -- agricultural as well as industrial -- to speed economic growth. Lacking economic growth, they cannot establish mass-education systems that would develop their human potential, nor have their governments the funds to establish some form of social security. Lacking any assurance of provision for old age, finally, people in the developing nations go on producing children at rates which guarantee continuing hunger, malnutrition, and -- periodically -- starvation.

When I first started working in this field, I believed that little progress in reducing population growth was possible in the developing countries, until their socio-economic well-being had been markedly improved. Thus, I thought, it would be counter-productive to push family planning services in such countries.

Since then, however, the growing acceptance of family planning services in several of the poorer nations has changed my mind. It appears that with some modest socio-economic progress, and some hope for a better future, poor parents can become motivated to exercise their basic right to determine the size of their family and the spacing of their children. Population assistance, as a recent State Department publication puts it, "is not an alternative to development assistance; rather it is a vital form of such assistance."

I have stressed the relation between low birth-rates and economic progress. But I have not at all mentioned a third factor that belongs in any discussion of adjusting population growth to resource capabilities: quality of life. My emphasis on the economics of population control can convey a sense of selfishness - a purely pocketbook perspective of human life that might be stated, "The fewer there are to share the pie, the bigger the piece each of us can have."

Cynical as it may sound, that formulation happens to hold true. But population control goes far beyond ensuring that there is enough food for everyone to eat. Just as the earth has a finite carrying capacity, so too, does the individual family. We may think of its resources as time, money, and the physical, emotional, and mental health of its members. When any of these resources is excessively drawn upon, family life begins to degenerate.

Humans who plan and space the birth of their children have a better chance for happier lives -- not only more comfortable ones, but qualitatively <u>better</u> ones. Fewer children, with a longer interval between births, means more of everything necessary to raise children well, and to sustain a strong love between parents; more money -- for excellent health-care, first-rate education, and family security, as well as for family pleasures; more time for parents to devote to each child -- and to each other; more freedom to select career and personal goals -- rather than being forced to stick with an unpromising situation because a bread-winner cannot afford to leave it. Quality of life, in large part, boils down to a matter of preserving one's ability to plan and shape his future, rather than just letting it happen. At present, most of the world's societies, as well as their families lack that ability.

This is true in a special sense for the 50.5 percent of the human population who are females. No matter what their country, women have always had far less opportunity to develop and express their talents. In very recent years women's liberation movements around the world have started trying to redress this imbalance.

Some males feel threatened by this. I don't; in fact, I wish the movement well for personal as well as professional reasons. As a father, I want the best that life has to offer for my two daughters -- and the "best" includes the chance to choose from many avenues of self-fulfillment, rather than being restricted to the traditional roles of wife and mother. As a male, I think women make more interesting friends and companions -- as well as more interesting wives and mothers -- when they have had a chance to explore their own possibilities. And as a person with a professional concern for population control, I regard improvement in the status of women as essential to the long-range success of family-planning programs.

Improving the status of women is, I recognize, a sensitive matter in many nations -- not just because of male pride, but because of deeply ingrained religious and cultural traditions. It would be arrogant of me to criticize those traditions, but I do hope that all

of you -- particularly my colleagues of the male sex -- will give serious thought to this matter. Both the Soviet Union and China have derived great economic as well as social benefit from the trained intelligence and service of their women. Other nations are beginning to do so -- and in my opinion, nations that do not are wasting a valuable resource for self-development.

Today about 70 percent of the world's population lives in the developing countries. At present rates of growth that proportion will, within 35 years, grow to 82 percent. That rate of expansion, if unchecked, threatens unpredictable danger for us all -- not only incalculable human misery, but international conflagration. The India-Pakistan-Bangladesh conflict had its root in overpopulation. Considering the growing interdependence among the nations of the earth and the proliferation of sophisticated weaponry around the world, no country -- however far-sighted it may be in controlling its own population -- can escape the consequences of the failure of others to moderate population growth.

That assertion, I think, is clear enough. What is not so clear is what all of us must do to help moderate population growth. The answer, I believe, has three parts:

First, for the long term, the developed nations must increase their investment in programs to restrict population growth. These programs must include comprehensive family services, not simply the furnishing of birth-control materials. Unless a family is assured, through the provision of health education and services, that at least two healthy children will survive, they will not voluntarily limit births. A related investment that must be increased is in fertility research. Present methods of contraception are too expensive for massive, widespread adoption by developing countries. We must help bring the price-tag down and -- while Third World nations struggle for a sounder economic footing -- the developed nations will probably have to pay most of the research bill.

Second, for the short term, the developed nations must increase direct and indirect food aid: direct, in the form of foodstuffs for immediate consumption, to mitigate as far as possible the ravages of starvation and malnutrition. Indirect, in the form of fertilizers, seeds, and agricultural technology that the poorest nations cannot afford. Not only does sheer humanity require such action by affluent nations, but so does sheer pragmatism.

Many third world nations -- as evidenced by statements at the Bucharest Conference -- believe that the affluent nations want to retard the population-growth of developing nations out of a selfish interest in maintaining economic dominance. Only through continuing, substantial help can the developed nations convince others that our interest in their economic progress is genuine.

Third, however, the developing nations will have to recognize the humble realities of politics in the developed world. Our leaders can go only so far in requesting their people to support family planning programs in other nations. Continuation of this sort of aid will require, on the part of the developing nations, a governmental commitment to reduction of population growth. Developing nations have every right to charge the United States and some European countries with over-consumption -- and it is clear, from such events as the oil-price increase, that if a sense of justice does not lead us to change our ways, economics will force us to do so. At this point, however, over-consumption by the affluent countries must not be used as a pretext for inaction by the developing countries. Charges and counter-charges may satisfy the spirit -- but they do not fill the stomach. <u>All nations must collaborate</u> to halt population growth.

Some people advance a fourth answer to the population crisis: food technology. There are valid reasons for hoping that we can produce more food than we do now -- as witness, the "Green Revolution."

But if anyone should be an optimist about food technology, it is Dr. Norman Borlaug -- and he is not. "The Green Revolution", he commented recently, "only delayed the world food crisis for another 20 to 30 years. If the world population continues to increase at the same rate, we will destroy the species."

I have been painting a rather gloomy picture. Fortunately, however, there are some glimmers of light to force back the gloom -- some indications that the "forgotten crisis" is not entirely forgotten, and that disaster is <u>not</u> inevitable. The Bucharest Conference did not produce as much concern, or commitment to action, as some of us had hoped for. Perhaps that was inevitable, considering the differences in wealth, ideology, and cultural traditions among the 137 nations represented there. In addition, the rules of the conference required consensus -- which meant, in essence, that no nation could strongly disagree with any part of the world plan of action, even though they might not be enthusiastic about all of it. Hence the world plan was a weaker document than many of us wanted.

However, the fact that the world plan exists at all shows, at least, that we consider population growth deserving of international attention. And there are other signs of real progress. For example, in 1969 the United Nations Fund for Population Activities had a budget of only $2 million. In 1975, it had a budget of $60 million, actual operating capital of $80 million, and next year it's shooting for a budget of $90 million. Requests for aid in population programs still outrun funds by a 2-to-1 margin -- but the increase in contributions proves that many developed nations accept family planning programs as important to global stability. I understand that the OPEC nations have agreed to contribute $25 million to the UN Fund.

Furthermore, following Bucharest 104 developing nations assembled in four regional conferences -- and at those conferences important regional differences showed up. As a group, the 43 developing nations in Africa did not consider rapid population growth a major problem. Those nations, however, contain only 14 percent of the population in the total developing world. The 26 developing nations in Asia, on the other hand, do consider rapid population growth a major problem. More important, they adopted specific targets -- including achievement of zero population growth within

two or three decades. What is most significant about this commitment to action on the part of the Asian nations is that they contain 74 percent of the people in the developing world.

Finally, we have examples of nations which -- by joining family planning services with socio-economic development -- have markedly reduced their rates of population growth in a relatively short period. Taiwan, South Korea, Hong Kong, Singapore, and Costa Rica have all lowered their birth rates through family planning programs. So far a total of 34 developing countries have adopted a reduction in population growth as national policy. Among these 34 is China, the world's most populous nation. In the People's Republic, 35 percent of the couples of child-bearing age now use contraceptives and the annual growth-rate has been reduced to 1.9 percent -- below the world average. In other developing countries, where only 12 percent of the couples practice contraception, annual growth averages 2.6 percent.

The trouble with population control as an international priority is that, while it's all about sex, it has no sex appeal. Americans prefer problems that can be attacked fast and solved fast. We like to hit problems with our doctorates and our dollars today and see them crumple tomorrow. Such impatience, moreover,

is probably not an exclusively American trait. The leaders of any nation prefer programs that produce visible results fast to programs that require constant, unremitting effort and show results slowly.

It's difficult for any government today to squeeze an extra dollar or mark or peso out of its national budget. Modern economics, social and political life is so complicated that government officials -- and their citizenry -- become understandably numb when presented with conflicting priorities, every one of which has a lobby to assert its urgency. The World Population Society has a lot of competition.

But we've got to stick with this problem, tiresome and frustrating as it is. We must not allow the inevitable frustrations to this kind of work slow our efforts.

Even though we do not know the earth's maximum carrying capacity, we must assume now that we have reached it -- for past population growth has built into future growth a momentum beyond our recall. Barring massive famine, nuclear warfare, continent-wide outbreaks of plague, or other such Malthusian "solutions" to excessive population growth, we cannot prevent world population from reaching at least eight billion by the year 2025.

But we can prevent it from growing dangerously beyond that point. We have made progress on "the forgotten crisis," and we will make more -- as long as we do not allow the lack of quick, spectacular successes to sap our morale. Perhaps the great lesson of Bucharest is that the population crisis will not be solved by a crisis mentality; instead, we must proceed with a quiet sense of urgency. And perhaps the great lesson of "World Population Year" is that it did not end in 1974. From now on, we must make every year a year for world population action.

# # # # # # # # #

CHANNELS OF COMMUNICATION WITH AGENCIES ON NEPA MATTERS *

ACTION

(i) Head of Agency

Michael P. Balzano
Director, Action
806 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20525
(202) 254-3120

U.S. ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT AGENCY

(i) Head of Agency

Fred C. Ikle
Director, ACDA
320 21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20451

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Walter Baumann
Assistant General Counsel, ACDA
320 21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20451
(202) 632-3530

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

(i) Head of Agency

Earl L. Butz, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility

Robert Long

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Fowden G. Maxwell
Coordinator
Environmental Quality Activities
Office of the Secretary
Department of Agriculture
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-3853

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Clarence Brizee
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Agriculture
Room 2409, South Building
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-6055

* The following is a revision of the list of channels of communication with agencies on NEPA matters. Please send any changes to the attention of Katherine Penn at the Council.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (Continued)

AGRICULTURE MARKETING SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Dr. Ervin L. Peterson, Administrator

AGRICULTURE RESEARCH SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

T. W. Edminister, Administrator

AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Kenneth E. Frick, Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Ray Hunter
Agricultural Stabilization and
Conservation Service
Department of Agriculture
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-6221

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

F. J. Mulhern, Administrator

COOPERATIVE STATE RESEARCH SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Roy L. Lovvorn, Administrator

FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Frank Elliott, Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Judd Hudson
Room 5321 South Bldg.
Community Facilities Division
Department of Agriculture
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-7667

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (Continued)

FEDERAL EXTENSION SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Edwin L. Kirby, Administrator

FOREST SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

John R. McGuire, Chief

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

J. Lamar Beasley
Environmental Coordination
Forest Service
Department of Agriculture
Room 3022, South Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-4708

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

David A. Hamil, Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Blaine Stockton
Program Analysis Division
Department of Agriculture
Room 4312, South Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-5918

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Ronello M. Davis, Administrator

(ii) Assistant Administrator with NEPA Responsibility

Richard L. Duesterhaus
Assistant to the Administrator for
Environmental Development
Department of Agriculture
Room 5121, South Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-7706

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Donald W. Whitehead, Federal Co-Chairman

(ii) Alternate Federal Co-Chairman

Orville H. Lerch

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Francis Moravitz
Deputy Executive Director
Appalachian Regional Commission
1666 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20235
(202) 967-4985

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Harry Teter
Executive Director
Appalachian Regional Commission
1666 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20235
(202) 967-4985

CANAL ZONE GOVERNMENT/PANAMA CANAL COMPANY

(i) Head of Agency

Maj. Gen. Harold R. Parfitt

(ii) Director with NEPA Responsibility

Sidney Kaufman
Director, Executive Planning Staff

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Thomas M. Constant
Secretary, Pamana Canal Company
Room 312
425 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20026
(202) 382-6453

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

(i) Head of Agency

W. E. Colby, Director

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Michael J. Malanick
Director of Logistics
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505
(202) 351-2551 143-2551

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

(i) Head of Agency

John E. Robson, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Steve Gross, Chief
Routes and Relationships Division
Civil Aeronautics Board
Washington, D.C. 20428
(202) 382-7261

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Tom Heye
General Counsel
Civil Aeronautics Board
Washington, D.C. 20428
(202) 382-7561 128-7561

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

(i) Head of Agency

Elliott Richardson, Secretary Designate

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Dr. Sidney R. Galler
Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Environmental Affairs
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 967-4335

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Robert Ellert, Acting General Counsel
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 967-4772

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Wilmer D. Mizell, Assistant Secretary
for Economic Development

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

John Hansel
Special Assistant for the Environment
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 967-4208

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

William S. Clinger
Chief Counsel
Department of Commerce
Room 7001A
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 967-4688

MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Robert J. Blackwell, Administrator

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (Continued)

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

(i) Head of Agency

Dr. Richard Roberts, Director

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Robert M. White, Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Dr. William Aron
Director, Office of Ecology and
Environment Conservation
NOAA - Room 5813
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 967-5181

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

(i) Head of Agency

Donald Rumsfeld, Secretary Designate

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

George Marienthal
Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense
(Environmental Quality)
OASD(HE)
3D171, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20301
(202) OX 5-3010 115-3010

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Robert L. Gilliat
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Defense
Room 3E977, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20301
(202) OX 5-3272 115-3272

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (Continued)

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

(i) Head of Agency

Dr. John L. McLucas, Secretary

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Col. Luis F. Dominguez
Department of the Air Force
Room 5D431, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20330
(202) 697-7795 117-9297

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Grant Reynolds
Office of the General Counsel
Department of the Air Force
Room 4D980 (SAFGC) Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20330
(202) OX 7-7479 117-7479

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

(i) Head of Agency

Martin R. Hoffman, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary for Civil Works with NEPA Responsibility

Victor V. Veysey

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Col. Charles Sell
Chief Environmental Officer
Department of the Army
Room 1E673, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20310
(202) OX 4-4269 114-4269

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (Continued)

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (Continued)

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison (Continued)

Corps of Engineers

Lt. Col. John R. Hill, Jr.
Assistant Director of Civil Works: Environmental Programs DAEN-CWZ-P
Office of the Chief of Engineers
Washington, D.C. 20314
(202) OX 3-7093 113-7093

Conservation Organization Liaison

Francis X. Kelley
Director, Office of Public Affairs
Attn: DAEN-PAP
Office of the Chief of Engineers
Washington, D.C. 20314
(202) OX 3-7168 113-7168

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Richard V. Kearney
Deputy General Counsel
Department of the Army
Room 2E722, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20310
(202) OX 7-4807 117-4807

(Corps)
J. Robert Steelman
Office of the Chief of Engineers
Department of the Army
Room 4A169, Forrestal Building
Washington, D.C. 20314
(202) OX 3-7066 113-7066

(JAG)
Col. William B. Carne
Office of the Judge Advocate General
Department of the Army
Washington, D.C. 20310
(202) OX 5-0256 115-0256

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (Continued)

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

(i) Head of Agency

J. William Middendorf, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility

Jack L. Bowers, Assistant Secretary for Installations and Logistics

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Commander J. B. Groff
Department of the Navy
Room 4B466, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20350
(202) OX 7-3639 117-3639

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Saul Katz
Assistant to the General Counsel
Office of the General Counsel
Department of the Navy
Washington, D.C. 20350
(202) OX 2-7186

DEFENSE SUPPLY AGENCY

(i) Head of Agency

Lt. Gen. W. H. Robinson, Jr.

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Karl Kabeiseman
General Counsel
Defense Supply Agency
Cameron Station
Alexandria, Va. 22314
(703) 274-6156

DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Rogers C.B. Morton, Federal Member

(ii) Assistant Commissioner with NEPA Responsibility

James Wright, Executive Director
Delaware River Basin Commission
P. O. Box 360
Trenton, New Jersey 08603
(609) 883-9500

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Robert L. Mann, Head
Environmental Unit
Delaware River Basin Commission
P. O. Box 360
Trenton, New Jersey 08603
(609) 883-9500

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Robert C. Seamans, Jr.

(ii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

W. H. Pennington
Office of Environment Assessments
Energy Research and Development Administration
Mail Station E-201
Washington, D.C. 20545
(301) 973-4241 119-4241

(iii) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Steven H. Greenleigh
Office of the General Counsel
Energy Research and Development Administraton
Washington, D.C. 20545
(301) 973-3121

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

(i) Head of Agency

Russell E. Train, Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Rebecca W. Hammer, Acting Director
Office of Federal Activities
Environmental Protection Agency
Room 537, Waterside Mall Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20460
(202) 755-0777

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Robert V. Zener
General Counsel
Environmental Protection Agency
Room 3201H, Waterside Mall Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20460
(202) 755-2511

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK

(i) Head of Agency

(to be named)
President and Chairman
Export-Import Bank of the United States
811 Vermont Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20571

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Warren W. Glick
General Counsel
Export-Import Bank of the United States

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Richard E. Wiley, Chairman

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Upton K. Guthery
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 632-7556

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Frank Zarb, Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Kenneth Woodcock
Director, Office of Environmental Programs
Federal Building
12th and Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20461
(202) 961-8601

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Tom Morris
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Building
12th and Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20461
(202) 961-8201

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Richard L. Dunham, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Dr. Jack M. Heinemann
Acting Assistant Director for
Environmental Quality
Office of Energy Systems
Federal Power Commission
825 N. Capitol Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20426
(202) 275-4791

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Dexel D. Journey
General Counsel
Federal Power Commission
825 N. Capitol Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20426
(202) 275-4309

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Lewis A. Engman, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Mary Foldes
Liaison Officer
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C. 20580
(202) 963-4977

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Thomas Tucker
Assistant to General Counsel
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C. 20580
(202) 962-3713

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Dwight Ink, Acting Administrator

(ii) Assistant Administrator with NEPA Responsibility

Nicholas Penuzio
Deputy Administrator for Special Projects
General Services Administration
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 343-6161 183-6161

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Andrew E. Kauders
Executive Director, Office of
Environmental Affairs
General Services Administration
18th and F Streets, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 343-4161

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Donald Young
General Counsel
General Services Administration
18th and F Streets, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 343-4221

GREAT LAKES BASIN COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Frederick O. Rouse, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Leonard T. Crook
Planning Director
Great Lakes Basin Commission
P. O. Box 999
Ann Arbor, Michigan
(313) 769-7431

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE

(i) Head of Agency

F. David Mathews, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility

Dr. Robert Marik, Assistant Secretary
for Administration and Management

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Charles Custard
Director, Office of Environmental Affairs
Department of Health, Education and Welfare
Room 4740 HEW North
Washington, D.C. 20202
(202) 245-7243

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Malcolm Mason
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Health, Education and Welfare
Room 5464 HEW North
Washington, D.C. 20202
(202) 245-7721

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

(i) Head of Agency

Carla Hills, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility

David O. Meeker, Jr., Assistant Secretary
for Community Planning and Development

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Richard H. Broun
Director, Office of Environmental
Quality
Department of Housing and Urban Development
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6308

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Robert Elliott
General Counsel
Department of Housing and Urban Development
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-7240

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

(i) Head of Agency

Thomas S. Kleppe, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility

Royston C. Hughes, Jr., Assistant Secretary
Program Development and Budget

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Bruce Blanchard
Director, Environmental Project Review
Department of the Interior
Room 5311 Interior Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Gary Bohlke
Office of the Solicitor
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-6848

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (Continued)

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Donald Paul Hodel, Administrator

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

(i) Head of Agency

Martin Seneca
Director of Trust Responsibilities
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-7513

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

George Quist
Environmental Quality Specialist
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Department of the Interior
1951 Constitution Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20245
(202) 343-2139

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

(i) Head of Agency

Curt Berklund, Director

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Robert A. Jones
Chief, Division of Environmental
and Planning Coordination
Bureau of Land Management
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-5682

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (Continued)

BUREAU OF MINES

(i) Head of Agency

Thomas V. Falkie, Director

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Dr. Lindsay Norman
Special Assistant for
Environmental Activities
Bureau of Mines
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3941

BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION

(i) Head of Agency

James G. Watt, Director

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Lewis Reid, Jr.
Chief, Office of Environmental Affairs
Bureau of Outdoor Recreation
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-5711

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

(i) Head of Agency

Gilbert G. Stamm, Commissioner

(ii) Assistant Commissioner with NEPA Responsibility

Elwood A. Seaman
Assistant to the Commissioner for Ecology
Bureau of Reclamation
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-4991

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (Continued)

U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Lynn A. Greenwalt, Director

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Tom Bond
Acting Chief, Environmental Coordination Branch
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-6394

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

(i) Head of Agency

V. E. McKelvey, Director

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Herbert Steward
Office of Environmental Conservation
Geological Survey
Reston, Virginia
(703) 860-7493

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Gary Everhardt, Director

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Gerald Patten
Chief of Environmental Quality Division
National Park Service
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-9377

U.S. SECTION
INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY COMMISSION (US-Canada)

(i) Head of Agency

Richard L. Herman, U.S. Commissioner

(iii) Working Level NEPA Contact

Mr. Frances X. Popper
Engineer to the U.S. Section
Room 3810, GAO
441 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20548
(202) 783-9151

U.S. SECTION
INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY AND WATER COMMISSION (US-Mexico)

(i) Head of Agency

J. F. Friedkins, U.S. Commissioner
P.O. Box 20003
El Paso, Texas 79978

(iii) Working Level NEPA Contact

T. R. Martin
Special Assistant - U.S. Section IBWC
Department of State - ARA/MEX
Washington, D.C. 20520

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Frank T. Fullerton
Special Legal Assistant IBWC
P.O. Box 20003
El Paso, Texas 79998

U.S. SECTION
INTERNATIONAL JOINT COMMISSION (US-Canada)

(i) Head of Agency

Henry P. Smith, III, Chairman

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Mr. James G. Chandler
Legal Advisor
U.S. Section
International Joint Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 632-9456

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

George M. Stafford, Chairman

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Fritz Kahn
General Counsel
Interstate Commerce Commission
Washington, D.C. 20423
(202) 343-4831

Rich Chais
Interstate Commerce Commission
Washington, D.C. 20423
(202) 343-7966

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

(i) Head of Agency

Edward Levi, Attorney General

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Martin Green
Land and Natural Resources Division
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530
(202) 739-2708

Elden James
Environmental Coordinator
L.E.A.A.
633 Indiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

(LEAA)
Helen Lessin
Office of the General Counsel
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
633 Indiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530
(202) 386-3344

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

(i) Head of Agency

John T. Dunlop, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility

John Stueler, Assistant Secretary for
Occupational Safety and Health

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

John Seline
Chief of Office of Evaluation and Research
Department of Labor
Washington, D.C. 20210

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Benjamin W. Mintz
Assistant Solicitor for Occupational
Safety and Health
Department of Labor
Washington, D.C. 20210
(202) 961-3965

MISSOURI RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

John W. Neuberger, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Edgar A. Imhoff
Acting Director of Planning and Technical Services
Missouri River Basin Commission
10050 Regency Circle, Suite 403
Omaha, Nebraska 68114

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Dr. James Fletcher, Administrator

(ii) Associate Deputy Administrator with NEPA Responsibility

Dunward L. Crow

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Nathaniel Cohen
Director, Office of Policy Analysis
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
400 Maryland Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20546
(202) 755-8433

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Gerald Mossinghoff
Assistant General Counsel
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Washington, D.C. 20546
(202) 755-3920

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Charles H. Conrad, Executive Director

(ii) Assistant Director with NEPA Responsibility

Donald F. Bozarth
Director of Current Planning and Programming
National Capital Planning Commission
Washington, D.C. 20576
(202) 382-2374

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Patricia Spillenkothen
Special Assistant for Environmental Affairs
National Capital Planning Commission
Washington, D.C. 20576
(202) 382-1289

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION (Continued)

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Daniel Shear
General Counsel
National Capital Planning Commissior
Washington, D.C. 20576
(202) 382-4584

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

(i) Head of Agency

Dr. H. G. Stever, Director

(ii) Assistant Director with NEPA Responsibility

Dr. Robert E. Hughes
Assistant Director for Astronomical, Atmospheric, Earth and Ocean Sciences
National Science Foundation
Washington, D.C. 20550
(202) 632-7300

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Dr. Edward P. Todd
Deputy Assis-ant Director for Astronomical, Atmospheric, Earth and Ocean Sciences
National Science Foundation
Washington, D.C. 20550
(202) 632-4180

Mr. John P. Giacomini
Special Assistant
Office of the Deputy Assistant Director for Astronomical, Atmospheric, Earth and Ocean Sciences
National Science Foundation
Washington, D.C. 20550
(202) 632-7360

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Charles F. Brown
General Counsel
National Science Foundation
Washington, D.C. 20550
(202) 632-4386

NEW ENGLAND RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Frank R. Gregg, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Robert D. Brown
Staff Director
New England River Basin Commission
55 Court Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02108
(617) 223-6244

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

William A. Anders, Chairman

(ii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Daniel R. Muller
Assistant Director for Environmental Projects
Division of Reactor Licensing
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 443-6965

(iii) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Howard Shapor
Office of General Counsel
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 492-7308

OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

(i) Head of Agency

Alvin J. Arnett, Director

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Arthur J. Reid
Deputy General Counsel
Office of Economic Opportunity
Washington, D.C. 20506
(202) 254-5736

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

(i) Head of Agency

James Lynn, Director

(ii) Assistant Director with NEPA Responsibility

(none)

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison for A-95 Procedures

William K. Brussat
Government Organization Branch
Office of Management and Budget
Room 9013 New Executive Office Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20503
(202) 395-3030

Earl Darrah
Environmental Branch
Office of Management and Budget
Room 8214 New Executive Office Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20503
(202) 395-6827

James Tozzi
Environmental Branch
Office of Management and Budget
Room 8222 New Executive Office Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20503
(202) 395-6827

OHIO RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Fred E. Morr, Chairman

OHIO RIVER BASIN COMMISSION (Continued)

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

C. A. Hays, Planning Director
Ohio River Basin Commission
Suite 208-220
36 East Fourth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 684-3831

OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

(i) Marshall T. Mays
President
Overseas Private Investment Corporation
1129 20th Street, N. W.
Washington, D.C. 20527

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Cecil Hunt
Deputy General Counsel
Overseas Private Investment Corporation
1129 20th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20527

PACIFIC NORTHWEST RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Donel J. Lane, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Ray E. Holmes, Planning Director
Pacific Northwest River Basin Commission
One Columbia River
Vancouver, Washington 98660
(206) 695-3606

POSTAL SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

E. T. Klassen, Postmaster General

(ii) Assistant Postmaster General with NEPA Responsibility

Alfred C. Maveis, Assistant Postmaster General
Real Estate and Buildings Department

POSTAL SERVICE (continued)

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Mr. Emerson Smith
Director, Buildings Analysis and Design Office
Real Estate and Buildings Department
U.S. Postal Service
Washington, D.C. 20260
(202) 245-4242

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

James J. Wilson
Associate General Counsel
U.S. Postal Service
Washington, D.C. 20260
(202) 245-4599

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Roderick Hills, Counsel

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Paul A. Belvin, Attorney
Securities and Exchange Commission
Office of Disclosure Policy
500 North Capitol Street, Room 629
Washington, D.C. 20549
(202) 755-1750

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

(none)

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Steven Klein
Attorney Adviser
Small Business Administration
Washington, D.C. 20416
(202) 382-6316

SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

(i) Head of Agency

S. Dillon Ripley, Secretary

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Peter G. Powers
General Counsel
Smithsonian Institution
Room 408
Washington, D.C. 20560
(202) 381-5866

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

(i) Head of Agency

Henry A. Kissinger, Secretary

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Lindsey Grant
Director, Office of Environmental Affairs
Department of State
Washington, D.C. 20520
(202) 632-9278

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Bernard H. Oxman
L/OES - Room 6420
Department of State
Washington, D.C. 20520
(202) 632-7100

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

(i) Head of Agency

Daniel Parker, Administrator

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Henry A. Arnold
Office of Science and Technology
AID
Washington, D.C. 20523
(202) 632-8938

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

(i) Head of Agency

Aubrey J. Wagner, Chairman

(ii) Director with NEPA Responsibility

Dr. Peter A. Krenkel
Director of Environmental Research and Development
Tennessee Valley Authority
720 Edney Bldg
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401
(614) 755-3161

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

John Rozek
Assistant to General Manager
Tennessee Valley Authority
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Lawrence L. Calvert
Washington Representative
Tennessee Valley Authority
Room 437 Woodward Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20444
(202) 343-4537

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Robert H. Marquis
General Counsel
Tennessee Valley Authority
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902
(615) 637-0101

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

(i) Head of Agency

William Coleman, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility

Judith Connor
Assistant Secretary for Environment, Safety, and Consumer Affairs

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (Continued)

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Martin Convisser
Director, Office of Environmental Quality
Department of Transportation
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Greg Wolfe
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Transportation
Room 10428
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-0140

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

James Dow, Acting Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Charles Foster
Director, Office of Environmental Quality
Federal Aviation Administration
Room 940
Washington, D.C. 20553
(202) 426-8406

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Leonard Ceruzzi
Federal Aviation Administration
Washington, D.C. 20553
(202) 426-3924

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Norbert T. Tiemann, Administrator

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION (Continued)

(ii) Associate Administrator with NEPA Responsibility

Joseph M. O'Connor, Associate Administrator for
Right-of-Way and Environment
Federal Highway Administration
Room 3213, Nassif Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-0100

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Michael Lash
Director, Office of Environmental Quality
Federal Highway Administration
Room 3226, Nassif Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-0351

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Edwin J. Reis
Federal Highway Administration
Room 4213, Nassif Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-0791

U.S. COAST GUARD

(i) Head of Agency

Admiral Owen W. Siler, Commandant

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Admiral Sidney A. Wallace
Office of Environmental Protection
U.S. Coast Guard
Room 7311
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-2010

(iv) Chief Counsel NEPA Contact

Rue Helsel
Office of the Chief Counsel
U.S. Coast Guard
Room 8102
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-1553

URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Robert Patriccelli, Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Milton L. Brooks
Director, Program Evaluation Division
Urban Mass Transportation Administration
Room 9313A
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 425-4060

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Joseph A. Blundon
Assistant Chief Counsel
Urban Mass Transportation Administration
Room 9320
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-1906

DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY

(i) Head of Agency

William Simon, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility

Warren F. Brecht, Assistant Secretary for Administration

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Anthony V. DiSilvestre
Office of Management and Organization
Department of the Treasury
Room 4406 Main Treasury Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20220
(202) 964-2463

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Mr. Arnold Intrater
Office of General Counsel
Department of Treasury
Room 1409
Washington, D.C. 20220
(202) 964-2327

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Donald C. Alexander, Commissioner

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Robert Spatz
Office of the Chief Counsel
Internal Revenue Service
Room 3543
Washington, D.C. 20224

UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

George W. Griebenow, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

William C. Walton
Executive Director
Upper Mississippi River Basin Commission
Room 510 Federal Office Bldg.
Fort Snelling
Twin Cities, Minnesota 55111
(612) 725-4690

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Richard L. Roudebush, Administrator

(ii) Deputy Administrator with NEPA Responsibility

Fred Rhodes

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

For Housing Programs:
Lyman T. Miller
Assistant Director for Construction and Valuation
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20420
(202) 389-2691

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION (Continued)

For all other programs:
Nicholas Clark, Civil Engineer
Technical Services Division
Engineering Service
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20420
(202) 389-2086

WATER RESOURCES COUNCIL

(i) Head of Agency

(none)

(ii) Director with NEPA Responsibility

Warren D. Fairchild, Director
Water Resources Council
2120 L Street, N.W.
8th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20037
(202) 254-6352

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Ted Farfaglia
Water Resources Council
2120 L Street, N.W.
Suite 850
Washington, D.C. 20037
(202) 254-6352

NOTICES

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS

List of Administrative Actions

The following list, filed with the Council by the Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration and U.S. Coast Guard, indicated those administrative action that FAA and USCG have determined will require the preparation of environmental impact statements under NEPA.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS IN PREPARATION OR ANTICIPATED (1ST QUARTER '76)

Elmira, NY, runway extension.
Newburgh, NY, runway extension.
St. Marys, PA, runway extension.
Erie, PA, runway extension.
Allentown (ABE), PA, runway extension.
Clarksburg, WV, runway extension.
Lewisburg, WV, runway extension.
New Martinsville, WV, new airport.
Buckhannon, WV, new airport.
Summersville, WV, runway extension.
Ocean City, MD, new runway.
Chestertown, MD, new airport, general utility.
Calvert Co., MD, general utility.
Quinton, VA (previously listed as Clinton, Va.), runway extension, road relocation.
South Hill, VA, new basic transport airport.
Franklin, VA, runway extension.
Roanoke, VA, runway extension.
Williamsville, VA, new general utility airport.
Stafford Co., VA, new basic transport airport.
Burbank, CA, acquire existing airport.
La Verne, CA, construct new runway.
Los Angeles, CA, acquire existing airport and new runway.
Oakland, CA, runway extension.
Ontario, CA, new runway.
Palmdale, CA, new airport.
Reedley, CA, new airport.
Santa Ana, CA, airport expansion.
San Francisco, CA, airport expansion.
Aurora Municipal, IL, 20 year planning grant program.
Bloomington Normal, IL, 20 year planning grant program.
Carbondale Southern, IL, 20 year planning grant program.
Champaign Willard, IL, 20 year planning grant program.
Decatur Municipal, IL, 20 year planning grant program.
Effingham County, IL, land acquisition/ILS runway.
Havana Municipal, IL, new airport—20 year planning grant program.
Rockford Greater, IL, 20 year planning grant program.
Anderson Municipal, IN, cross-wind runway extension.
Angola Tri-State, IN, new airport—20 year planning grant program.
Crawfordsville Municipal, IN, new airport—20 year planning grant program.
Ft. Wayne Municipal, IN, 20 year planning grant program.
French Lick Municipal, IN, runway extension.
Gary Municipal, IN, 20 year planning grant program.
Lopez, WA, land acquisition, new runway.
Neah Bay, WA, new airport site.
Richland, WA, new runway, land acquisition.
Woodland, WA, new airport site.
Colville, WA, new airport site.
Ocean Shores, WA, new airport site.
Pasco, WA, runway extension, land acquisition.
Elma, WA, new airport site.
Everett, WA, new GA runway.
Vancouver, WA, new public airport.
Baton Rouge, LA, new GA airport.
Richardson, TX, new GA airport.
Pocohontas, IA, airport layout plan and land acquisition.
York, NE, master plan and airport layout plan.
Onawa, IA, airport layout plan.
Boone, IA, airport layout plan.
Higginsville, MO, airport layout plan.
Sikeston, MO, airport development project.
Harrisonville, MO, master plan and airport layout plan.
Lamar, MO, master plan and airport layout plan.
Omaha, NE, airport layout plan.
North Platte, NE, airport layout plan and airport development.
Lamoni, IA, airport layout plan.
Washington, KS, master plan and airport layout plan.
Salem, MO, airport development.
Lee's Summit, MO, airport development.
Blair, NE, master plan and airport layout plan.
Hillsboro/Marion, KS, master plan and airport layout plan.
Hoxie, KS, master plan and airport layout plan.
Marshalltown, IA, airport layout plan and airport development.
LeMars, IA, master plan and airport layout plan.
Atwood, KS, master plan and airport layout plan.
Storm Lake, IA, airport development.
Pittsburg, KS, airport development.
Albany, MO, airport development.
Sac City, IA, airport development.
Joplin, MO, airport development.
Eldon, MO, airport development.
Scott City, KS, airport development.
Olathe, KS, airport development.
Yap Airport, Yap District, Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, develop airport master plan for Yap Airport.
Kusaie Island, Truk District, Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, develop airport master plan for Kusaie Island.
Greencastle Cty., IN, new airport—20 year planning grant program.
Huntingburg Municipal, IN, 20 year planning grant program.
Mt. Vernon Municipal, IN, new airport—20 year planning grant program.
North Vernon municipal, IN, new airport—20 year planning grant program.
Shelbyville Municipal, IN, 20 year planning grant program.
Wabash Municipal, IN, 20 year planning grant program.
Pontiac, Oakland-Pontiac, MI, land acquisition, extend.
Ironwood County, MI, land acquisition, new runway.
Hillsdale Municipal, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
Mt. Pleasant Municipal, MI, land acquisition, new runway.
Saginaw, MI, land acquisition, extend two runways.
Escanaba County, MI, land acquisition, extend runway.
Menominee County, MI, new runway.
Lansing-Capital City, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
Grand Ledge Municipal, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
Ann Arbor Municipal, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
Kalamazoo Municipal, MI, extend runway.
Flint-Bishop, MI, land acquisition, new runway.
Grand Rapids County, MI, extend runway.
Coldwater Municipal, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
Greenville Municipal, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
Saginaw Harry Browne, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
Chesaning-St. Charles, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
Monroe, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
Vassar-Millington, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
Baudette International, MN, land acquisition, runway extension.
Madison Dawsen-Madison, MN, new runway.
Tower Municipal, MN, new runway.
Fergus Falls Municipal, MN, land acquisition.
Walker Municipal, MN, land acquisition, new runway.
Jackson Municipal, MN, land acquisition, new runway.
Akron-Canton Municipal, OH, extend runway.
Cleveland-Hopkins, OH, new runway, extend runway.
Cleveland, Cuyahoga, OH, new runway.
Green Bay Austin Straubel, WI, land acquisition, improve runway.
Madison County, WI, land acquisition, clear runway approach.
Antigo County, WI, land acquisition, extend runway.
Waupaca Municipal, WI, land acquisition, install VASI.
Rhinelander Cty., WI, Construct CFR Bldg.
Neah Bay, WA, establish VOR/DME.
Salem, OR, establish FM.
North Bend, OR, runway extensions.
La Grande, OR, runway extension.
Hermiston, OR, land acquisition, runway extension.
Medford, OR, land acquisition.
Warm Springs, OR, new airport site.
Portland-Clackamas, OR, new airport site.
St. Maries, ID, land acquisition.
Kamiah, ID, runway extension, land acquisition.
Soda Springs, ID, new runway, land acquisition.
Babelthuap/Koror Island, Palau, District, Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, develop airport master plan for Babelthuap/Koror Island.
Agana, Guam, Mariana Islands, develop airport master plan for general aviation airport at Guam.
Honolulu, HI, develop airport master plan for general aviation airport on Island of Oahu.
Lihue, Kauai, HI, develop airport master plan for Lihue Airport on Island of Kauai.
Agana, Guam, Mariana Islands, transfer of section 23 (U.S. Navy) land.
Anchorage, AK, new runwy.
New Haven, CT, runway extension.
Bar Harbor, ME, terminal area relocation.
Runford, ME, new airport.
Provincetown, MA, runway extension.
Bridgeport, CT, runway extension.
Alabaster, AL, extend both ends of runway 15/33.
Gilbertsville, KY, extend runway.
Miami, FL (In'l), extend runway 09R.
Miami, FL, construct replacement facilities for Everglades Jetport.
Nashville, TN, construct new airport.
Raleigh-Durham, NC, construct new air carrier runway.
St. Thomas, VI, construct new runway.
Ft. Myers, FL, construct new air carrier airport.

FAA OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY RULEMAKING PROJECTS

Civil Helicopter Noise Certification Standards.

Civil Supersonic Aircraft Noise Type Certification Standards.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS (A), DRAFT (D) AND FINAL (F) ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS (EIS) BEING PREPARED BY THE U.S. COAST GUARD

NOTICES

F—Proposed changes to Coast Guard Station, New London, CT.

F—Deepwater Port Regulations (Final to CEQ 3 OCT 75).

F—Ratification of the protocol relating to intervention on the high seas in the cases of marine pollution by substances other than oil.

F—Proposed Coast Guard Station at Provincetown, MA.

D—Proposed Route 22 bridge across the Ohio River between Weirton, West Virginia and Steubenville, OH.

A—Seasonalization of Coast Guard Station, Fisher Island, Suffolk County, NY.

A—Saybrook Breakwater Light automation, Middlesex, CT.

D—Calhoun Street Bridge across the Delaware River between Morrisville, PA and Trenton, NJ.

D—Merrick Road Bridge across Seaford Creek, Mineola, NY.

D—Pemberton Creek Bridge across Pemberton Creek, Oceanport, NJ.

D—Cattus Island Bridge at Barnegat Bay, Ocean County, NJ.

F—Route 18 Highway Bridge across the Raritan River in New Brunswick, NJ.

D—Bridge across Shallotte Creek, Brunswick County, NC.

F—Amendment to existing I-95 James River Bridge, Richmond, VA.

D—Proposed Coast Guard Search and Rescue Station, Cockspur Island, GA.

F—Bridge across Station Creek for access to St. Phillips Island, Beaufort County, SC.

D—Proposed multi-purpose facility at Berwick, LA.

D—Proposed rules for Vessel Traffic System, Berwick Bay, LA.

F—Proposed Vessel Traffic System, Houston/Galveston, TX.

D—Highway Bridge across Calcasieu Lake in Cameron Parish, LA.

D—Highway Bridge across Hog Bayou near Grand Chenier, Cameron Parish, LA.

D—Vehicular/Mass Transit Bridge across the Mississippi River at New Orelans, LA.

D—West Bank Expressway, U.S. Route Highway 90 Business Route, upgrading of an existing segment to freeway standards including a high level bridge over the Harvy Canal. Proposed upgrading would extend from U.S. Highway 90 on the west to the Orleans Parish line on the east, a distance of 9.78 miles New Orleans, LA.

F—Proposed Loran C Station, Seneca Army Depot, NY.

A—New Coast Guard Station, Bay City, MI.

A—New SAR Station, Bayfield, WI.

A—Increase habitability at CG Station, Marblehead, OH.

D—Highway Bridge across the Wisconsin River, Wausau, WI.

F—Highway Bridge across the Wolf River, Fremont, WI.

F—Proposed Loran C Chain, U.S. West Coast/Gulf of Alaska Sites at Fallon and Searchlight, NV; and Middletown, CA (Final to CEQ 10 OCT 75).

D—Point Reyes Housing Sewage Disposal Plan, CA.

F—Proposed replacement of the Dumbarton Bridge across San Francisco Bay, San Mateo and Alameda Counties, CA.

D—Supplement to West Coast/Gulf of Alaska Loran-C Chain, Moses Lake, WA site (Draft to CEQ 31 OCT 75).

F—Gulf of Alaska Loran-C Chain at Tok, Shoal Cove and Narrow Cape, AK.

A—Kamehameha Highway Bridge over Kahaluu Stream, Kahaluu, Oahu, HI.

A—Installation of Generator for Microwave Communications Equipment, Honolulu, HI.

NEGATIVE DECLARATIONS PREPARED AND FILED IN COAST GUARD HEADQUARTERS DURING THE THIRD QUARTER OF CY 1975

Legislative proposal "Nautical Rules of the Road Act of 1975".

Amendment to Federal Regulation "Pollution Prevention—Vessel Design and Operations" Prohibited Oil Spaces (33 CFR 155.-470).

Acquire three existing houses at Colnjock, NC.

Augmentation of Lower Mississippi River Buoy Tenders.

LPG Contingency Plan, Captain of the Port, Portland, Me.

Unit Development Plan, Coast Guard Base, Terminal Island, CA.

Unite Development Plan, Coast Guard Air Station, San Diego, CA.

Construct an Exchange (Convenience Store) at Coast Guard Richmond Heights Housing Development, Miami, Fla.

NEGATIVE DECLARATIONS PREPARED AND FILED IN COAST GUARD HEADQUARTERS FOR FINAL BRIDGE PERMIT ACTIONS DURING THE THIRD QUARTER OF CY 1975

Project, waterway, and location:	*Permit No.*
Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal, Justice, Ill.	66-75
Clam Thorofare, Atlantic City, NJ	41-75
Bayou Bonfonca, Slidell, La.	96-71
Big Sandy River, Kenova, W. Va.	81-75
McIntosh Slough, Reedsport, Oreg	83-75
Wolfsnare Creek, Virginia Beach, Va	76-75
Buffalo Bayou, Houston, Tex.	153-71
Swinomish Channel, LaConner, Wash	84-75
Otter Creek, Susie, Ky.	102-75
Big Sandy River (Tug Fork), Job City, Ky.	104-75
California Creek, Blaine, Wash.	105-75
Chelan River, Chelan Falls, Wash.	106-75
Perch River, Mobile, Ala.	95-75
Northwest Creek, Craven Co., N.C	96-75
Biscayne Canal, Dade County, Fla	97-75
Himmarshee Canal, Broward County, Fla.	60-75
Salt Creek, North Port Charlotte, Fla	125-74
Willamette River, Wilsonville, Oreg	38-73
Big Slough (Myakkahatchee Creek), Port Charlotte, Fla.	132-74
Rappahannock River, Port Royal, Va	92-75
Borrow Canal, Gramercy, La.	87-75
Bethel Creek, Vero Beach, Fla.	57-75
Newhalen River, Iliamna, Alaska	108-75
Missisquoi River, Highgate, Vt.	114-75
Kansas River, Lawrence, Kans.	131-75
Trinity River, Moss Hill, Tex.	121-75
Cocheco River, Dover, N.H.	115-75
Cross Bayou Canal, Pinellas County, Fla.	119-75
Rancocas Creek, Burlington County, N.J.	45-75
Perch Creek (West Fork), Mobile, Ala	107-75
Millport Slough, Kernville, Oreg	110-75
Pawtucket Canal, Lowell, Mass.	113-75
Bayou Choupique, Hackberry, La	100-75
Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, Delray Beach, Fla.	103-75
Nnnamed Gut of Sinepuxent Bay, Berlin, Md.	93-75
Hayners Creek, Savannah, Ga.	124-74

GARY L. WIDMAN,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-32181 Filed 11-26-75;8:45 am]

Listing of DOT/Urban Mass Transportation Administration Actions for Which Environmental Impact Statements Are In Preparation Or Anticipated.

1. Supplemental EIS on changes to MARTA East-West line, Atlanta, Georgia.
2. Final EIS on Fifth and Sixth Avenues Transit Mall, Portland, Oregon.
3. Draft EIS on South Quincy Station Area, Massachusetts.
4. Draft and Final EIS on D.C. Bicentennial Transportation Program, Washington, D.C.
5. Draft EIS on Extension to Shady Grove, Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, Wash., D.C.

Listing of HUD Actions for which Environmental Impact Statements are in preparation or anticipated.

Austin, Texas, Development of Colony Park, Section 1, Phase 4-A, and Colony Park Hills, Section 1-B.

Palm Beach County, Florida, Cannongate Planned Unit Development Project.

GARY L. WIDMAN,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-28649 Filed 10-23-75;8:45 am]

CEQ STUDIES

Environmental Quality - The First Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1970. [PREX14.1:970, $3.00]

Ocean Dumping - A National Policy. 1970. [PREX14.2:Oc2, $1.15]

The President's 1971 Environmental Program. 1971. [PREX14.2:En8, $3.70]

Toxic Substances. 1971. [PREX14.2:T66, $0.65]

Environmental Quality - The Second Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1971. [PREX14.1:971, $4.05]

The President's 1972 Environmental Program. 1972. [PREX14.2:En8/972, $3.00]

Environmental Quality - The Third Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1972. [PREX14.1:972, $3.80]

Integrated Pest Management. 1973. [PREX14.2:P43, $1.10](PB-214 173, microfiche, $1.45)

The President's 1973 Environmental Program. 1973. [PREX14.2:En8/973, $5.30]

The Federal Environmental Monitoring Directory. 1973. [PREX14.2:En8/3, $1.20]

Energy and the Environment - Electric Power. 1973. [PREX14.2:En8/4, $1.00]

Environmental Quality - The Fourth Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1973. [PREX14.1:973, $3.50]

Environmental Quality - The Fifth Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1974. [PREX14.1:974, $5.20]

Land Use, Reprinted from the Fifth Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1974. [PREX14.1/A:L22, $1.20]

The Economic Impact of Environmental Programs. 1974. (PB-239 773, $3.25; microfiche, $2.25)

MERES and the Evaluation of Energy Alternatives. 1975. [041-011-00026-2, $0.65]

The Delaware River Basin - An Environmental Assessment of Three Centuries of Change. 1975. [4111-00018]

102 Monitor [issued monthly, annual subscription, $21.30 beginning November 15, 1974]

Note: The information given in square brackets indicates that the item is available from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402; that given in parentheses indicates availability from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Va. 22151. Because it is not feasible for the Superintendent of Documents to correct the prices manually in all publications stocked, the prices charged on your order may differ from the prices printed in the publications.

CONTRACT STUDIES

Monitoring the Environment of the Nation. The Mitre Corporation. 1971. (PB-205 989, $7.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Monitoring the Environment of the Nation - Appendices. The Mitre Corporation. 1971. (PB-205 990, $17.25; microfiche, $2.25)

The Quiet Revolution in Land Use Control. Fred Bosselman and David Callies. 1971. [PREX 14.2:L22, $3.85]

The Quiet Revolution in Land Use Control - Summary Report. Fred Bosselman and David Callies. 1971. [PREX 14.2:L22/Summ., $1.00]

Predator Control - 1971. Advisory Committee on Predator Control, Institute for Environmental Quality, The University of Michigan. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality and the Department of the Interior. 1971. (PB-210 120, $7.25; microfiche, $2.25) Also available from Charles D. Saults, Chief, Conservation Education, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240

The Economic Impact of Pollution Control - A Summary of Recent Studies. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, Department of Commerce, and Environmental Protection Agency. 1972. [PREX14.2:P76, $3.85]

The Economic Impacts of Meeting [Automobile] Exhaust Emission Standards, 1971-1980. Chase Econometric Associates, Inc.
Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 200, $3.25; $2.25)
Part II. Baseline Forecasts of Economic Performance. (PB-207 201, $3.75; $2.25)
Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement. (PB-207 202, $3.75; $2.25)
Part IV. Appendix. Presentation of Baseline and Alternative Impact Forecasts of Macroeconomic and Industry Performance. (PB-207 203, $5.75; $2.25)

Analysis of Economic Impacts of Environmental Standards on the Bakery Industry. Ernst & Ernst.
Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 169, $3.25; $2.25)
Part II. [A descriptive analysis of the bakery products industry detailing industry trends and characteristics relevant to ecomomic impact analysis of environmental standards]. (PB-207 170, $3.25; $2.25)
Part III. [A study of the impact of pollution standards and charges on the bakery industry]. (PB-207 171, $3.75; $2.25)

The Cement Industry: Economic Impact of Pollution Control Costs. The Boston Consulting Group, Inc.
Volume I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 150, $3.25; $2.25)
Volume II. [Industry description, pollution problems, market structure, financial resources, demand, foreign trade, and employment impact]. (PB-207 151, $7.00; $2.25)

Possible Impact of Costs of Selected Pollution Control Equipment on the Electric Utility Industry and Certain Power Intensive Consumer Industries. National Economic Research Associates, Inc.
Volume I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 168, $3.25; $2.25)
Volume II. [Introduction, structure of the electric utility industry, and the economic impact of pollution abatement upon the industry and upon selected power intensive consumer industries]. (PB-207 167, $5.25; $2.25)

Economic Impact of Environmental Controls on the Fruits and Vegetable Canning and Freezing Industries. Agri Division, Dunlap and Associates, Inc.
Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 140, $3.25; $2.25)
Part II. Industry Structure. (PB-207 141, $5.75; $2.25)
Part III. Impact Analysis. (PB-207 142, $6.25; $2.25)
Part IV. Statistical Supplement. (PB-207 143, $5.75; $2.25)

Study of the Economic Impacts of Pollution Control on the Iron Foundry Industry. A.T. Kearney & Company, Inc.
Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 147, $3.25; $2.25)
Part II. The Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 148, $5.25; $2.25)
Part III. The Ecomomic Impact of Pollution Abatement upon the Industry. (PB-207 149, $4.25; $2.25)

The Leather Industry: A Study of the Impact of Pollution Control Costs. Urban Systems Research & Engineering, Inc.
Volume I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 152, $3.75; $2.25)
Volume II. Description of the Industry. (PB-207 153, $6.25; $2.25)
Volume III. Impact of Pollution Control Costs on the Tanning Industry. (PB-207 154, $3.75; $2.25)

The Effects of Pollution Control on the Nonferrous Metals Industries. Charles River Associates Incorporated.

Aluminum: Part I. Introduction and Executive Summary. (PB-207 164, $3.75; $2.25)
Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 165, $5.25; $2.25)
Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement on the Industry. (PB-207 166, $3.75; $2.25)

Copper: Part I. Introduction and Executive Summary. (PB-207 161, $3.75; $2.25)
Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 162, $5.25; $2.25)
Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement on the Industry. (PB-207 163, $3.75; $2.25)

Lead: Part I. Introduction and Executive Summary. (PB-207 155, $3.75; $2.25)
Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 156, $4.75; $2.25)
Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement on the Industry. (PB-207 157, $3.75; $2.25)

Zinc: Part I. Introduction and Executive Summary. (PB-207 158, $3.75; $2.25)
Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 159, $4.75; $2.25)
Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement on the Industry. (PB-207 160, $3.75; $2.25)

Economic Impact of Anticipated Paper Industry Pollution-Abatement Costs. Arthur D. Little, Inc.
Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 144, $3.25; $2.25)
Part II. Industry Structure. (PB-207 145, $4.25; $2.25)
Part III. Economic Analysis. (PB-207 146, $4.25; $2.25)

The Impact of Costs Associated with New Environmental Standards upon the Petroleum Refining Industry. Stephen Sobotka & Company.
Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 197, $3.25; $2.25)
Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 198, $4.25; $2.25)
Part III. The Impact of Environmental Control Costs. (PB-207 199, $4.25; $2.25)

A Study of the Economic Impact on the Steel Industry of the Costs of Meeting Federal Air and Water Pollution Abatement Requirements. Booz-Allen Public Administration Services, Inc.
Volume I. Executive Summary. (PB-211 917, $3.25; $2.25)
Volume II. The Structure of the Steel Industry. (PB-211 918, $5.25; $2.25)
Volume III. Economic Analysis. (PB-211 919, $5.75; $2.25)
Volumes I, II, III. (PB-211 920, $12.00)

The Chase Econometrics Macroeconomic and Inter-Industry Forecasting Models. Chase Econometric Associates, Inc. (PB-207 204, $5.25; $2.25)

Land Use Indicators of Environmental Quality - An Examination of Existing Federal Data and Future Needs. Earth Satellite Corporation. 1972. (PB-211 399, $6.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Environmental Indicators for Pesticides. Stanford Research Institute. 1972. (PB-210 666, $5.75; microfiche, $2.25)

National Environmental Indices: Air Quality and Outdoor Recreation. The Mitre Corporation. 1972. (PB-210 668, $8.50; microfiche, $2.25)

Development of a Continuing Program to Provide Indicators and Indices of Wildlife and the Natural Environment. Smithsonian Institution, Ecology Program. 1972. (PB-210 734, $6.25; microfiche, $2.25)

National Assessment of Trends in Water Quality. Enviro Control, Inc. 1972. (PB-210 669, $4.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Possible Effects of Construction and Operation of a Supertanker Terminal on the Marine Environment in the New York Bight. State University of New York. (PB-219 649, $7.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Environmental Aspects of a Supertanker Port on the Texas Gulf Coast. Texas A&M University. (PB-220 051, $11.50; microfiche, $2.25)

Resource Recovery: The State of Technology. Midwest Research Institute. 1973. [PREX14.2:R31, $1.35](PB-214 149, $4.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Resource Recovery: Catalogue of Processes. Midwest Research Institute. 1973. (PB-214 148, $5.75; microfiche, $2.25)

Preliminary Assessments of the Environmental Impact of a Superport on the Southeastern Coastal Area of Louisiana. Louisiana Superport Studies. Louisiana State University. 1973. (COM-73-10544, $10.00; microfiche, $2.25)

A Preliminary Assessment of the Environmental Vulnerability of Machias Bay, Maine to Oil Supertankers. Massachusetts Institute of Technology. 1973. (COM-73-10564, $6.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Quantitative Energy Studies and Models. Decision Sciences Corporation. 1973.
A State of the Art Review, Appendix I. (PB-220 131, $4.75; microfiche, $2.25)
Appendices II, III. (PB-220 132, $5.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Environmental Vulnerability of the Delaware Bay Area to Supertanker Accommodation. University of Delaware. 1973.
Volume I. Summary. (PB-219 801, $4.75; microfiche, $2.25)
Volume II. Biology. (PB-219 802, $10.00; $2.25)
Volume III. Chemistry, Engineering, Geology and Physical Oceanography. (PB-219 803, $9.50; $2.25)
Volume IV. Biology Appendix. (PB-219 804, $10.50; $2.25)
Volumes I, II, III, IV. (PB-219 800-SET, $31.00)

Report on Channel Modifications. Arthur D. Little, Inc. 1973.
Volume I. [PREX14.2:C36/v.1, $4.45]
Volume II. [PREX14.2:C36/v.2, $8.15]

Criteria for National Land Use Planning Indicators. Development Sciences Inc. 1973. (PB-220 650, $3.75; microfiche, $2.25)

Land Use Change and Environmental Quality in Urban Areas: Some Comparative Studies. Earth Satellite Corporation. 1973. (PB-220 742, $9.25; microfiche, $2.25)

The Taking Issue - An Analysis of the Constitutional Limits of Land Use Control. Fred Bosselman, David Callies, and John Banta. 1973. [PREX14.2:T13, $2.70]

Potential Onshore Effects of Deepwater Oil Terminal-Related Industrial Development. Arthur D. Little, Inc. 1973.
Volume I. Part One. Executive Summary. (PB-224 018, $3.25; microfiche, $2.25)
Volume II. Part Two. Mid-Atlantic Region. Part Three. Maine. (PB-224 019, $8.50; $2.25)
Volume III. Part Four. Gulf Coast Region. (PB-224 020, $8.50; $2.25)
Volume IV. Appendices. (PB-224 021, $8.50; $2.25)
Volumes I, II, III, IV. (PB-224 017-SET, $25.00)

Who Bears the Cost of Pollution Control?: The Impact on the Distribution of Income of Financing Federally Required Pollution Control. Public Interest Economics Center. 1973. (PB-226 447, $5.75; microfiche, $2.25)

A Study of the Quarterly Demand for Gasoline and Impacts of Alternative Gasoline Taxes, Interim Report. Data Resources, Inc. 1973. (PB-226 122, $8.50; microfiche, $2.25)

A Study of the Demand for Gasoline, Final Report. Data Resources, Inc. 1973. (PB-235 254 $5.00; microfiche, $2.25)

Total Urban Water Pollution Loads: The Impact of Storm Water. Enviro Control, Inc. 1974. (PB-231 730, $7.00; microfiche, $2.25)

Development of Cross-Media Evaluation Methodology. Battelle Columbus Laboratories. 1974. (PB-232 414, $7.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Evaluation of Municipal Sewage Treatment Alternatives. Pacific Northwest Laboratories, Battelle Memorial Institute. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality and the Environmental Protection Agency. 1974. (PB-233 489, $11.25; microfiche, $2.25). Also published by Environmental Protection Agency as Municipal Sewage Treatment: A Comparison of Alternatives.

A Study of Industry Response to Policy Measures Designed to Improve Automobile Fuel Economy. Hittman Associates, Inc. 1974. (PB-234 625, $7.00; microfiche, $2.25)

The Effect of Tax and Regulatory Alternatives on Car Sales and Gasoline Consumption. Chase Econometric Associates, Inc. 1974. (PB-234 622 $5.25; microfiche, $2.25)

A Study of the Implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act by the United States Navy. Presearch Incorporated. 1974. (PB-233 133, $9.50; microfiche, $2.25)

Recreational Properties: An Analysis of the Markets for Privately Owned Recreational Lots and Leisure Homes. Richard L. Ragatz Associates, Inc. 1974. (PB-233 148, $12.50; microfiche, $2.25)

Farmers' Pesticide Use Decisions and Attitudes on Alternate Crop Protection Methods. RvR Consultants. 1974. (PB-234 633, $7.00; microfiche, $2.25)

The Costs of Sprawl: Environmental and Economic Costs of Alternative Residential Development Patterns at the Urban Fringe. Real Estate Research Corporation. 1974. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the Environmental Protection Agency.
Executive Summary [4111-00023, $0.55]
Detailed Cost Analysis (includes the Executive Summary) [4111-0021, $2.90]
Literature Review and Bibliography [4111-00022, $3.25]

Interceptor Sewers and Suburban Sprawl. Urban Systems Research & Engineering, Inc. 1974.
Volume 1: Analysis (PB-236 477, $7.00; microfiche, $2.25)
Volume 2: Case Studies (PB-236 871, $8.75; microfiche, $2.25)

Environmental Impacts, Efficiency, and Cost of Energy Supply and End Use. Hittman Associates, Inc.
Volume I. 1974. (PB-238 784, $10.25; microfiche, $2.25)
Volume II. 1975. (PB-239 159, $8.50; microfiche, $2.25)

Production, Distribution, Use and Environmental Impact Potential of Selected Pesticides. Midwest Research Institute and RvR Consultants. 1974. (PB-238 795, $11.50; microfiche, $2.25)

Influence of Environmental Considerations on Agricultural and Industrial Decisions To Locate Outside the Continental United States. The Public Interest Economics Center. 1974. (PB-243 831, $4.75; microfiche, $2.25)

The National Environmental Policy Act Process Study: An Evaluation of the Implementation and Administration of the NEPA by the Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management. VTN Consolidated, Inc. 1975. (PB-239 672, $8.50; microfiche, $2.25)

Environmental Impact Center, Inc. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the Environmental Protection Agency.
Secondary Effects of Public Investments in Highways and Sewers. 1975. (PB-240 332, $5.75; microfiche, $2.25)
Review and Bibliography of Secondary Impacts of Major Investments: Highways, Mass Transit, Interceptor Sewers. 1974. (PB-240 827, $8.75; microfiche, $2.25)
Secondary Impacts of Infrastructure Investments in the Denver Region. 1974. (PB-240 333, $5.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Energy Supply/Demand Alternatives for the Appalachian Region. Resource Planning Associates. 1975. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, the Appalachian Regional Commission, and the National Science Foundation.
Executive Summary (PB-242 944, $4.75; microfiche, $2.25)
Full report (PB-244 621, $15.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Study of Federal Water Quality Monitoring Efficiency. Enviro Control, Inc. 1975. (PB-246 221, $6.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Study of Federal Water Quality Planning Efficiency. Enviro Control, Inc. 1975. (PB-246 718, $7.75; microfiche, $2.25)

The Macroeconomic Impacts of Federal Pollution Control Programs. Chase Econometric Associates, Inc. 1975. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality and the Environmental Protection Agency. (PB-240 979, $4.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Planning for Environmental Indices. National Academy of Sciences. 1975. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, the Department of Commerce, the U.S. Geological Survey, and the Environmental Protection Agency. (PB-240 971, $4.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Impact of Exports of Certain Raw Materials on the Domestic Economy. The Public Interest Economics Center. 1975. (PB-243 838, $6.25; microfiche, $2.25)

A Western Regional Energy Development Study: Primary Environmental Impacts. Radian Corporation. 1975. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, the Energy Research and Development Administration, the Department of the Interior, and the National Science Foundation.
Vol. I. (PB-246 264, $7.00; microfiche, $2.25)
Vol. II. (PB-246 265, $17.25; microfiche, $2.25)
Appendices. (PB-246 266, $7.00; microfiche, $2.25)
Executive Summary. (PB-246 267, $4.25; microfiche, $2.25)

National Environmental Statistical Report. The Mitre Corporation. 1975. (PB-246 233, $8.50; microfiche, $2.25)

Recreation on Water Supply Reservoirs - A Handbook for Increased Use. Urban Systems Research and Engineering, Inc. 1975. [041-011-00027-1, $2.05]

Energy Alternatives: A Comparative Analysis. University of Oklahoma Science and Public Policy Program. 1975. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, Energy Research and Development Administration, Environmental Protection Agency, Federal Energy Administration, Federal Power Commission, Department of the Interior, National Science Foundation. [041-011-00025-4, $7.45] (PB-246 365, $18.75; microfiche, $2.25)

OCS Oil and Gas - An Environmental Assessment is available in five volumes from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. It is also available from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Va. 22151. On microfiche, each volume is $2.25; for the set, PB-239 262, the charge is $31.00 for paper.

Volume 1, PREX14.2:C15/2/vol. 1, $2.90 (PB-239 263, $5.40)

OCS Oil and Gas - An Environmental Assessment, A Report to the President, Council on Environmental Quality
Issues in the Assessment of Environmental Impacts of Oil and Gas Production on the Outer Continental Shelf, A Critique, National Research Council

Volume 2, PREX14.2:C15/2/vol. 2, $3.70 (PB-239 264, $6.20)

Appendix A Federal Agency Contributors

Appendix B Contracts Issued

Appendix C Members of Governors' Advisory Committee

Appendix D Summary of World Oil and Gas Reserves and Production

Appendix E Oil and Gas Resource Classification and Estimates, Bureau of Mines and U.S. Geological Survey

Appendix F Methodology for Selecting Hypothetical Locations of Possible Oil and Gas Accumulations, U.S. Geological Survey

Appendix G The National Energy Scene, Walter G. Dupree, Jr.

Appendix H A National Energy Conservation Program: The Half and Half Plan

Appendix I Environmental Impacts of Alternatives to Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Production, Hittman Associates, Inc.

Appendix J Some Environmental Considerations in the Petroleum Refining Industry, Radian Corporation

Appendix K Summary of the Public Hearings Held by the Council on Environmental Quality on the Environmental Impacts of Potential Oil and Gas Development of the Atlantic and Gulf of Alaska OCS, September-October 1973

Volume 3, PREX14.2:C15/2/vol. 3, $2.65 (PB-239 265, $5.15)

The Effect of Natural Phenomena on OCS Gas and Oil Development, Tetra Tech, Inc.

Toward Resolving Problems in Outer Continental Shelf Technology, Resources for the Future, Inc.

Volume 4, PREX14.2:C15/2/vol. 4, $6.65 (PB-239 266, $9.65)

Potential Onshore Effects of Oil and Gas Production on the Atlantic and Gulf of Alaska Outer Continental Shelf, Resource Planning Associates, Inc., and David M. Dornbusch & Co.

Volume 5, PREX14.2:C15/2/vol. 5, $6.50 (PB-239 267, $9.50)

Potential Biological Effects of Hypothetical Oil Discharges in the Atlantic Coast and Gulf of Alaska, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Simulation of Hypothetical Offshore Petroleum Developments, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Analysis of Oil Spill Statistics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Oil Spill Trajectory Studies for Atlantic Coast and Gulf of Alaska, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

MISCELLANEOUS

An Appraisal of the Problem of the Handling, Transportation, and Disposal of Toxic and Other Hazardous Materials. Booz.Allen & Hamilton. 1970. Prepared for the Toxic and Hazardous Materials Steering Committee. (PB-236 599, $5.50; microfiche, $2.25)

Report of the Interagency Working Group on Health and Environmental Effects of Energy Use. 1974. (PB-237 937, $17.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Transcript of Proceedings, Interagency Task Force on Inadvertent Modification of the Stratosphere. 1975. (PB-240 122, $7.25; microfiche, $2.25)

Fluorocarbons and the Environment, Report of Federal Task Force on Inadvertent Modification of the Stratosphere (IMOS). 1975. [038-000-00226-1, $1.90]

The Role of Ecology in the Federal Government. Report of the Committee on Ecological Research. 1974. [038-000-00202, $1.50]

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
		DRAFT	Agricultural Conservation Program	51615	11-05-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Joe Creek Local Protection Project, Tulsa	51645	11-10-75	COE
		DRAFT	Chemical Waste Incinerator Ship Project	51677	11-18-75	DOC
		DRAFT	National Wildlife Refuge System	51680	11-18-75	DOI
		DRAFT	Natural Gas Emergency Standby Act of 1975	51707	11-24-75	FEA
		FINAL	El Paso Natural Gas Co., Curtailment	51629	11-10-75	FPC
		FINAL	Cities Service Pipeline System Curtailment	51672	11-17-75	FPC
		FINAL	Commercial Fishing, Marine Mammals	51679	11-18-75	DOC
		FINAL	Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company	51709	11-24-75	FPC
Alabama		FINAL	Northern Gulf of Alaska Oil and Gas Leasing	51682	11-19-75	DOI
	Tallapoosa	DRAFT	Tallapoosa County Water Supply System	51714	11-24-75	HUD
Alaska		DRAFT	Alaska Lumber & Pulp Co., Timber Sale	51618	11-06-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Minnesota Drive Extension, Anchorage	51671	11-17-75	DOT
Arizona	Maricopa	DRAFT	Palo Verde Nuclear Sta., Units 1, 2, & 3 (Suppl.)	51718	11-25-75	NRC
Arkansas	several	FINAL	Herbicide Use, Ozark-St. Francis N.F.	51624	11-06-75	USDA
California		FINAL	ORV Recreation, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake	51601	11-03-75	USN
	Fresno	DRAFT	Reedley Municipal Airport, California	51604	11-03-75	DOT
	Marin	FINAL	Petaluma River, Maintenance Dredging	51635	11-10-75	COE
	Mendocino	FINAL	Noyo Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51633	11-10-75	COE
	Sonoma	DRAFT	Port Sonoma Development Project	51723	11-26-75	COE
		FINAL	Petaluma River, Maintenance Dredging	51635	11-10-75	COE
	Tulare	DRAFT	Reedley Municipal Airport, California	51604	11-03-75	DOT
	Ventura	DRAFT	Calleguas Creek, Ventura County	51607	11-03-75	COE
Colorado	Arapahoe	DRAFT	Pier Point Development, Colorado	51603	11-03-75	HUD
		DRAFT	Seven Lakes, A Planned Community	51662	11-13-75	HUD
	Gunnison	FINAL	Marble Winter Sports Site, White River N.F.	51609	11-04-75	USDA
	Montezuma	FINAL	Ute Mountain Ute Tribal Lands Mineral Lease	51698	11-24-75	DOI

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Connecticut	New London	FINAL	Yantic River Watershed (2)	51659	11-13-75	USDA
	Tolland	FINAL	Yantic River Watershed (2)	51659	11-13-75	USDA
District of Co		DRAFT	Bicentennial Transportation Program	51477	11-10-75	DOT
Florida		DRAFT	Panama City Beaches, Erosion & Hurricane Protectio	51646	11-10-75	COE
		FINAL	Key Largo Coral Reef Marine Sanctuary	51717	11-25-75	DOC
	Dade	DRAFT	Lakes of Acadia Development	51658	11-13-75	HUD
	Escambia	FINAL	SR 10A, Pensacola	51602	11-03-75	DOT
	Lake	FINAL	Proposed Lake Woodruff Wilderness Area	51719	11-25-75	DOI
	Marion	FINAL	Longleaf Islands, Ocala N.F.	51661	11-13-75	USDA
	Palm Beach	DRAFT	Cannongate Development, Palm Beach	51650	11-11-75	HUD
		DRAFT	Le Chalet, Palm Beach Co.	51692	11-20-75	HUD
	Putnam	FINAL	Longleaf Islands, Ocala N.F.	51661	11-13-75	USDA
	Volusia	FINAL	Proposed Lake Woodruff Wilderness Area	51719	11-25-75	DOI
Georgia	Chatham	FINAL	Widening of DeRenne Avenue, Savannah, Georgia	51668	11-17-75	DOT
Hawaii	Honolulu	DRAFT	Kalanianaole Highway (FAP 72) Improvement	51673	11-17-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Peretania-Smith St. Parking Facility, Honolulu	51686	11-20-75	HUD
Idaho		FINAL	Squaw Creek Planning Unit, Boise N.F.	51632	11-10-75	USDA
	Ada	FINAL	Clinical Support Facility, Boise, Idaho	51647	11-11-75	VA
	Boise	FINAL	Middle Fork Boise River Unit, Boise N.F.	51643	11-10-75	USDA
	Cassia	FINAL	Black Pine Planning Unit, Sawtooth N.F.	51619	11-06-75	USDA
	Elmore	FINAL	Middle Fork Boise River Unit, Boise N.F.	51643	11-10-75	USDA
	Idaho	DRAFT	Elk City Hwy., Idaho Forest Hwy. 18 (SR 14)	51630	11-10-75	DOT
Illinois	Jackson	FINAL	Federal Office Building, Carbondale, Illinois	51676	11-18-75	GSA
	Madison	DRAFT	Federal Bldg. - US Courthouse, E. St. Louis	51610	11-04-75	GSA
	St. Clair	DRAFT	Federal Bldg. - US Courthouse, E. St. Louis	51610	11-04-75	GSA
	Williamson	FINAL	Marion Unit #4, Illinois	51696	11-21-75	USDA
Indiana	Hancock	FINAL	Mount Comfort Airport	51649	11-11-75	DOT

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

11-30-75

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Indiana	Vanderburgh	DRAFT	Indiana Project I-164	51614	11-04-75	DOT
Kansas	Coffey	FINAL	Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1	51636	11-10-75	NRC
	Wyandotte	DRAFT	Nearman Creek Generating Station	51666	11-17-75	COE
Kentucky		DRAFT	Paintsville Lake	51648	11-17-75	COE
		DRAFT	US 60 Bridge Over Big Sandy River	51724	11-26-75	DOT
Louisiana		DRAFT	New General Aviation Airport, Baton Rouge	51699	11-24-75	DOT
	Allen	FINAL	Upper Bayou Nezpique Watershed	51638	11-10-75	USDA
	Cameron	FINAL	Proposed Lacassine National Wildlife Refuge	51612	11-04-75	DOI
	Evangeline	FINAL	Upper Bayou Nezpique Watershed	51638	11-10-75	USDA
	Vermilion	DRAFT	Vermilion Lock Replacement, Gulf ICW (2)	51684	11-20-75	COE
Maryland		DRAFT	Monongahela River Basin Study	51695	11-20-75	ORBC
	Baltimore	FINAL	Fort Holabird Disposal, Baltimore	51669	11-17-75	GSA
	Dorchester	DRAFT	Cambridge Harbor Channel and Turning Basin	51693	11-20-75	COE
Massachusetts		FINAL	Murray Industrial Park, Chelsea, Mass.	51694	11-20-75	HUD
		FINAL	Coast Guard Station, Provincetown, Mass.	51721	11-26-75	DOT
	Franklin	DRAFT	Monague Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2	51626	11-06-75	NRC
Michigan	several	DRAFT	Clinton River Channel, Maintenance Dredging	51617	11-06-75	COE
		DRAFT	US-131, 19 Mile Road to US-131 North	51704	11-24-75	DOT
	Genesee	FINAL	I-475, Genesee County	51631	11-10-75	DOT
	Mason	FINAL	Mason County Airport, Ludington	51611	11-04-75	DOT
	Schoolcraft	DRAFT	US 2, Manistique River Bridge Relocation	51663	11-13-75	DOT
Minnesota	several	FINAL	Minnesota Memorial Hardwood Forest	51720	11-26-75	DOI
	Winona	FINAL	US 61	51655	11-12-75	DOT
Mississippi	Oktibbeha	FINAL	Proposed Noxubee Wilderness Area	51688	11-20-75	DOI

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Missouri		DRAFT	Rail-to-Barge Coal Transfer Facility, St. Louis	51657	11-12-75	COE
		FINAL	Dangerous Buildings and Demolition Program, Kansas	51713	11-24-75	HUD
	Jackson	DRAFT	South Midtown Freeway (Route 71)	51651	11-11-75	DOT
	Lincoln	DRAFT	Area #8 (Elsberry), Flood Protection	51702	11-24-75	COE
	Pike	DRAFT	Area #8 (Elsberry), Flood Protection	51702	11-24-75	COE
Montana		FINAL	Hebgen Lake Planning Unit, Gallatin N.F.	51667	11-17-75	USDA
	Lincoln	DRAFT	Big Swede-Pipe Units, Kootenai N.F.	51623	11-06-75	USDA
	Ravalli	DRAFT	Lower West Fork Unit, Bitterroot N.F.	51656	11-12-75	USDA
Nebraska		DRAFT	E. Omaha Relocation and Land Development	51689	11-20-75	HUD
		DRAFT	Gerald Gentleman Station, Project #1835	51703	11-24-75	FPC
	Dakota	FINAL	US 77, Dakota County (Supplement)	51644	11-10-75	DOT
	Hitchcock	FINAL	Blackwood Creed Watershed	51653	11-11-75	USDA
	Lincoln	FINAL	Blackwood Creed Watershed	51653	11-11-75	USDA
	Red Willow	FINAL	Blackwood Creed Watershed	51653	11-11-75	USDA
Nevada	Douglas	DRAFT	Buckeye to Round Hill 120 kV Transmission Line	51670	11-17-75	USDA
	Elko	FINAL	Railroad Relocation Demonstration Project	51674	11-17-75	DOT
New Jersey	Monmouth	DRAFT	Pine Brook Flood Control Porject, Manalapan	51705	11-24-75	COE
New York		FINAL	Concorde Supersonic Transport	51664	11-14-75	DOT
	Chautauqua	FINAL	Cattaraugus Creek Harbor, Flood Control	51711	11-24-75	COE
	Erie	FINAL	Cattaraugus Creek Harbor, Flood Control	51711	11-24-75	COE
	Suffolk	DRAFT	Brookhaven National Laboratory	51726	11-26-75	ERDA
	Wayne	FINAL	Arcadia Industrial Park, Newark NY	51722	11-26-75	HUD
North Carolina	several	DRAFT	Cape Fear R.: Maintenance Above Wilmington	51625	11-06-75	COE
		FINAL	Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, N.C.	51639	11-10-75	COE
	Carteret	FINAL	Proposed Wilderness Area, North Carolina	51687	11-20-75	DOI
	Dare	FINAL	Proposed Wilderness Area, North Carolina	51687	11-20-75	DOI
	Davidson	DRAFT	US 52, Welcome to Lexington, North Carolina	51681	11-19-75	DOT
	Hyde	FINAL	Proposed Wilderness Area, North Carolina	51687	11-20-75	DOI

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Ohio	Lake	DRAFT	Chagrin River Flood Control and Navigation (3)	51606	11-03-75	COE
	Ottawa	FINAL	Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 Operatio	51616	11-05-75	NRC
Oklahoma	Caddo	DRAFT	Anadarko Combined Cycle Unit	51652	11-11-75	USDA
	Muskogee	DRAFT	Ft. Howard Paper Co., Pulp and Paper Mill	51621	11-06-75	COE
	Oklahoma	FINAL	US 77, Shields Boulevard, Oklahoma City	51708	11-24-75	DOT
	Osage	FINAL	Shidler Lake, Salt Creek	51660	11-13-75	COE
	Tulsa	DRAFT	Arcadia Lake, Deep Fork River, Oklahoma	51620	11-06-75	COE
Oregon		DRAFT	North Bend Municipal Airport Development	51675	11-18-75	DOT
	Baker	FINAL	Wallowa Valley Unit Resource Allocation	51622	11-06-75	USDA
	Clackamas	FINAL	Timberline Lodge Complex, Mt. Hood N.F.	51613	11-04-75	USDA
	Union	FINAL	Wallowa Valley Unit Resource Allocation	51622	11-06-75	USDA
	Wallowa	FINAL	Wallowa Valley Unit Resource Allocation	51622	11-06-75	USDA
Pennsylvania		DRAFT	Monongahela River Basin Study	51695	11-20-75	ORBC
Puerto Rico		DRAFT	PR-10, Arecibo-Ponce	51701	11-24-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Coamo Solid Waste Disposal Site	51706	11-24-75	HUD
South Carolina	Beaufort	FINAL	Upper New River Watershed Project	51691	11-20-75	USDA
	Georgetown	DRAFT	Murrells Inlet Navigation Project	51641	11-10-75	COE
	Jasper	FINAL	Upper New River Watershed Project	51691	11-20-75	USDA
Tennessee	Anderson	DRAFT	State Route 61, Oliver Springs Bypass	51715	11-24-75	DOT
	Clay	DRAFT	Dale Hollow Lake, Continued O & M	51700	11-24-75	COE
	Knox	FINAL	I-640	51710	11-24-75	DOT
	Roane	DRAFT	State Route 61, Oliver Springs Bypass	51715	11-24-75	DOT
Texas		DRAFT	Brownsville-Matamoros Railroad Relocation	51628	11-10-75	DOT
	several	DRAFT	Carl L. Estes Lake, Sabine River	51712	11-24-75	COE
		FINAL	Elm Creek Watershed Project	51640	11-10-75	USDA
	Bastrop	FINAL	Federal Youth Center, Bastrop, Texas	51716	11-24-75	GSA
	Brazoria	DRAFT	Texas State Highway 35, Proposed Relocation	51725	11-26-75	DOT

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Texas	Chambers	FINAL	Cedar Bayou Channel, Maintenance Dredging	51634	11-10-75	COE
	Coryell	DRAFT	Fort Hood Land Acquisition	51627	11-07-75	COE
	Dallas	FINAL	"The Woods" Subdivision, Dallas Co.	51608	11-04-75	HUD
	Harris	DRAFT	Texas State Highway 35, Proposed Relocation	51725	11-26-75	DOT
		FINAL	Cedar Bayou Channel, Maintenance Dredging	51634	11-10-75	COE
	Potter	FINAL	Loop 335 from I-40 to US 87 and US 287, Potter Co.	51642	11-10-75	DOT
	Tarrant	DRAFT	Metropolitan Highway 50, Fort Worth	51605	11-03-75	DOT
Virginia		DRAFT	Portsmouth Refinery and Terminal	51685	11-20-75	COE
		FINAL	Concorde Supersonic Transport	51664	11-14-75	DOT
Washington		DRAFT	Lopez Island Airport Improvement	51697	11-24-75	DOT
	Adams	FINAL	Rodeo Lake Surface Drainage, Othello	51678	11-18-75	HUD
	Grays Harbor	FINAL	Group Harbor and Chehalis River Navigation Project	51683	11-20-75	COE
West Virginia		DRAFT	Monongahela River Basin Study	51695	11-20-75	ORBC
		DRAFT	US 60 Bridge Over Big Sandy River	51724	11-26-75	DOT
	Wood	DRAFT	Parkersburg Urban Renewal	51690	11-20-75	HUD
Wisconsin	La Crosse	FINAL	STH 93, La Crosse Co., Wisconsin	51665	11-17-75	DOT
	Sawyer	FINAL	S.T.H. 27 and 77, Sawyer County	51654	11-12-75	DOT

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE				
	FINAL	Black Pine Planning Unit, Sawtooth N.F.	51619	11-06-75
Agricultural Stab. and Conserv. Service				
	DRAFT	Agricultural Conservation Program	51615	11-05-75
Forest Service				
	DRAFT	Alaska Lumber & Pulp Co., Timber Sale	51618	11-06-75
		Big Swede-Pipe Units, Kootenai N.F.	51623	11-06-75
		Lower West Fork Unit, Bitterroot N.F.	51656	11-12-75
		Buckeye to Round Mill 120 kV Transmission Line	51670	11-17-75
	FINAL	Marble Winter Sports Site, White River N.F.	51609	11-04-75
		Timberline Lodge Complex, Mt. Hood N.F.	51613	11-04-75
		Wallowa Valley Unit Resource Allocation	51622	11-06-75
		Herbicide Use, Ozark-St. Francis N.F.	51624	11-06-75
		Squaw Creek Planning Unit, Boise N.F.	51632	11-10-75
		Middle Fork Boise River Unit, Boise N.F.	51643	11-10-75
		Longleaf Islands, Ocala N.F.	51661	11-13-75
		Hebgen Lake Planning Unit, Gallatin N.F.	51667	11-17-75
Rural Electrification Administration				
	DRAFT	Anadarko Combined Cycle Unit	51652	11-11-75
	FINAL	Marion Unit #4, Illinois	51696	11-21-75
Soil Conservation Service				
	FINAL	Upper Bayou Nezpique Watershed	51638	11-10-75
		Elm Creek Watershed Project	51640	11-10-75
		Blackwood Creed Watershed	51653	11-11-75
		Yantic River Watershed (2)	51659	11-13-75
		Upper New River Watershed Project	51691	11-20-75
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE				
Maritime Administration				
	DRAFT	Chemical Waste Incinerator Ship Project	51677	11-18-75
Nat'l Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.				
	FINAL	Commercial Fishing, Marine Mammals	51679	11-18-75
		Key Largo Coral Reef Marine Sanctuary	51717	11-25-75
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps				
	DRAFT	Chagrin River Flood Control and Navigation (3)	51606	11-03-75
		Calleguas Creek, Ventura County	51607	11-03-75
		Clinton River Channel, Maintenance Dredging	51617	11-06-75
		Arcadia Lake, Deep Fork River, Oklahoma	51620	11-06-75
		Ft. Howard Paper Co., Pulp and Paper Mill	51621	11-06-75
		Cape Fear R.: Maintenance Above Wilmington	51625	11-06-75
		Fort Hood Land Acquisition	51627	11-07-75

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps	DRAFT	Murrells Inlet Navigation Project	51641	11-10-75
		Joe Creek Local Protection Project, Tulsa	51645	11-10-75
		Panama City Beaches, Erosion & Hurricane Protectio	51646	11-10-75
		Paintsville Lake	51648	11-17-75
		Rail-to-Barge Coal Transfer Facility, St. Louis	51657	11-12-75
		Nearman Creek Generating Station	51666	11-17-75
		Vermilion Lock Replacement, Gulf ICW (2)	51684	11-20-75
		Portsmouth Refinery and Terminal	51685	11-20-75
		Cambridge Harbor Channel and Turning Basin	51693	11-20-75
		Dale Hollow Lake, Continued O & M	51700	11-24-75
		Area #8 (Elsberry), Flood Protection	51702	11-24-75
		Pine Brook Flood Control Porject, Manalapan	51705	11-24-75
		Carl L. Estes Lake, Sabine River	51712	11-24-75
		Port Sonoma Development Project	51723	11-26-75
	FINAL	Noyo Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51633	11-10-75
		Cedar Bayou Channel, Maintenance Dredging	51634	11-10-75
		Petaluma River, Maintenance Dredging	51635	11-10-75
		Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, N.C.	51639	11-10-75
		Shidler Lake, Salt Creek	51660	11-13-75
		Group Harbor and Chehalis River Navigation Project	51683	11-20-75
		Cattaraugus Creek Harbor, Flood Control	51711	11-24-75
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy	FINAL	ORV Recreation, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake	51601	11-03-75
DEPARTMENT OF HUD	DRAFT	Pier Point Development, Colorado	51603	11-03-75
		Cannongate Development, Palm Beach	51650	11-11-75
		Lakes of Acadia Development	51658	11-13-75
		Seven Lakes, A Planned Community	51662	11-13-75
		Parkersburg Urban Renewal	51690	11-20-75
		Le Chalet, Palm Beach Co.	51692	11-20-75
		Tallapoosa County Water Supply System	51714	11-24-75
	FINAL	"The Woods" Subdivision, Dallas Co.	51608	11-04-75
		Murray Industrial Park, Chelsea, Mass.	51694	11-20-75
Section 104(h)	DRAFT	Peretania-Smith St. Parking Facility, Honolulu	51686	11-20-75
		E. Omaha Relocation and Land Development	51689	11-20-75
		Coamo Solid Waste Disposal Site	51706	11-24-75
	FINAL	Rodeo Lake Surface Drainage, Othello	51678	11-18-75
		Dangerous Buildings and Demolition Program, Kansas	51713	11-24-75
		Arcadia Industrial Park, Newark NY	51722	11-26-75

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR				
Bureau of Indian Affairs				
	FINAL	Ute Mountain Ute Tribal Lands Mineral Lease	51698	11-24-75
Bureau of Land Management				
	FINAL	Northern Gulf of Alaska Oil and Gas Leasing	51682	11-19-75
Bureau of Outdoor Recreation				
	FINAL	Minnesota Memorial Hardwood Forest	51720	11-26-75
Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife				
	DRAFT			
		National Wildlife Refuge System	51680	11-18-75
	FINAL	Proposed Lacassine National Wildlife Refuge	51612	11-04-75
		Proposed Wilderness Area, North Carolina	51687	11-20-75
		Proposed Noxubee Wilderness Area	51688	11-20-75
		Proposed Lake Woodruff Wilderness Area	51719	11-25-75
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION				
Federal Aviation Administration				
	DRAFT	Reedley Municipal Airport, California	51604	11-03-75
		North Bend Municipal Airport Development	51675	11-18-75
		Lopez Island Airport Improvement	51697	11-24-75
		New General Aviation Airport, Baton Rouge	51699	11-24-75
	FINAL	Mason County Airport, Ludington	51611	11-04-75
		Mount Comfort Airport	51649	11-11-75
		Concorde Supersonic Transport	51664	11-14-75
Federal Highway Administration				
	DRAFT	Metropolitan Highway 50, Fort Worth	51605	11-03-75
		Indiana Project I-168	51614	11-04-75
		Brownsville-Matamoros Railroad Relocation	51628	11-10-75
		Elk City Hwy., Idaho Forest Hwy. 18 (SR 14)	51630	11-10-75
		South Midtown Freeway (Route 71)	51651	11-11-75
		US 2, Manistique River Bridge Relocation	51663	11-13-75
		Minnesota Drive Extension, Anchorage	51671	11-17-75
		Kalanianaole Highway (PAP 72) Improvement	51673	11-17-75
		US 52, Welcome to Lexington, North Carolina	51681	11-19-75
		PR-10, Arecibo-Ponce	51701	11-24-75
		US-131, 19 Mile Road to US-131 North	51704	11-24-75
		State Route 61, Oliver Springs Bypass	51715	11-24-75
		US 60 Bridge Over Big Sandy River	51724	11-26-75
		Texas State Highway 35, Proposed Relocation	51725	11-26-75
	FINAL	SR 10A, Pensacola	51602	11-03-75
		I-475, Genesee County	51631	11-10-75
		Loop 335 from I-40 to US 87 and US 287, Potter Co.	51642	11-10-75
		US 77, Dakota County (Supplement)	51644	11-10-75
		S.T.H. 27 and 77, Sawyer County	51654	11-12-75
		US 61	51655	11-12-75
		STH 93, La Crosse Co., Wisconsin	51665	11-17-75
		Widening of DeRenne Avenue, Savannah, Georgia	51668	11-17-75
		Railroad Relocation Demonstration Project	51674	11-17-75
		US 77, Shields Boulevard, Oklahoma City	51708	11-24-75
		-640	51710	11-24-75

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION				
U.S.Coast Guard				
	FINAL	Coast Guard Station, Provincetown, Mass.	51721	11-26-75
Urban Mass Transportation Administration				
	DRAFT	Bicentennial Transportation Program	51477	11-10-75
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMIN.				
	DRAFT	Brookhaven National Laboratory	51726	11-26-75
FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION				
	DRAFT	Natural Gas Emergency Standby Act of 1975	51707	11-24-75
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION				
	DRAFT	Gerald Gentleman Station, Project #1835	51703	11-24-75
	FINAL	El Paso Natural Gas Co., Curtailment	51629	11-10-75
		Cities Service Pipeline System Curtailment	51672	11-17-75
		Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company	51709	11-24-75
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION				
	DRAFT	Federal Bldg. - US Courthouse, E. St. Louis	51610	11-04-75
	FINAL	Fort Holabird Disposal, Baltimore	51669	11-17-75
		Federal Office Building, Carbondale, Illinois	51676	11-18-75
		Federal Youth Center, Bastrop, Texas	51716	11-24-75
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				
	DRAFT	Monague Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2	51626	11-06-75
		Palo Verde Nuclear Sta., Units 1, 2, & 3 (Suppl.)	51718	11-25-75
	FINAL	Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 Operatio	51616	11-05-75
		Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1	51636	11-10-75
OHIO RIVER BASIN COMMISSION				
	DRAFT	Monongahela River Basin Study	51695	11-20-75
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION				
	FINAL	Clinical Support Facility, Boise, Idaho	51647	11-11-75

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Dr. Fowden G. Maxwell
Coordinator of Environmental
Quality Activities
Office of the Secretary
U.S.Department of Agriculture
Room 359-A,
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-3965

Agricultural Stab. and Conserv. Service

Draft	Date
Agricultural Conservation Program	11/05

Under the Agricultural Conservation Program, the Federal Government will be sharing with farmers and ranchers the cost of carrying out approved soil, water, woodland, and wildlife conservation practices on their land, throughout the States, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands. construction of water impoundment facilities, terraces, and diversions, the establishment of vegetative cover and trees, and the carrying out of streambank protection measures. Some adverse impacts may occur if herbicides are not properly applied or if there is improper application of plant nutrients.
(ELR ORDER # 51615)

Forest Service

Draft	Date
Alaska Lumber & Pulp Co., Timber Sale	11/06

Alaska
The statement concerns the harvesting of approximately 668 million board feet of mature Sitka spruce, western hemlock, and Alaska cedar by the Alaska Lumber and Pulp Company during the operating period 1976-1981. The proposed action will modify 267,400 acres of Tongass National Forest to the degree that it will no longer qualify for consideration for inclusion into the Wilderness Preservation System. Areas where permanent roads, quarries, camps, and log landings are constructed will be removed from timber production for an indefinite time.
(ELR ORDER # 51618)

Big Swede-Pipe Units, Kootenai N.F.	11/06

Montana
County: Lincoln
The action involves the implementation of a revised multiple use plan for the 142,135 acre Big Swede-

Pipe Planning Unit of Kootenai National Forest. The plan provides for fewer acres to be allotted to timber production, but an increase in long term yields through more intensive management of these acres allocated to production of wood products. Continued developmental activity will result in soil and vegetative disturbance. Areas which are currently unroaded will be developed and the natural condition of the Forest will be affected.
(ELR ORDER # 51623)

Lower West Fork Unit, Bitterroot N.F. 11/12

Montana
County: Ravalli
The proposed action is the implementation of a revised land use plan for the 197,431-acre Lower West Fork Planning Unit, Bitterroot National Forest. Major impacts generated by management will be in soil, vegetation, wildlife, aesthetics, water and roadless area values due to management activities related to intensive timber management and road construction. Increased air pollution will result from smoke from management activities such as slash burning and site preparation. Timber production will be foregone on 52,573 acres managed as Wilderness or other forms of unroaded area.
(ELR ORDER # 51656)

Buckeye to Round Hill 120 kV Transmission Line 11/17

Nevada
County: Douglas
Proposed is the issuance of a special use permit to the Sierra Pacific Power Company for the construction and operation of a 120 kV power transmission line across portions of the Toiyabe National Forest. Construction and operation of the transmission line will create a change in the visual characteristics of the natural forest landscape. Future options for use of National Forest land adjacent to the right-of-way will be limited.
(ELR ORDER # 51670)

Final Date

Herbicide Use, Ozark-St. Francis N.F. 11/06

Arkansas
County: several
The statement describes vegetation management and control in the Ozark-St Francis National Forests through the use of herbicides 2,4-D, 2,3,5-T, silvex and picloram. Adverse impacts include decreased aesthetic value due to loss of some foliage and flowering species, noise and smell during spraying, and temporary lowered air and water quality during and after spraying.

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE EPA DOI
state agencies, private
groups and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51624)

Marble Winter Sports Site, White River N.F. 11/04

Colorado
County: Gunnison
The proposal is not to issue a special
use permit pursuant to the application
of the Marble Ski Area, Inc., to expand
their existing facilities onto 600 acres
of the White River National Forest
within Gunnison County, Colorado.
This action would permit local government
to reassess current zoning and land ownership.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA
state and local agencies,
private organizations and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51609)

Longleaf Islands, Ocala N.F. 11/13

Florida
County: Marion Putnam
Proposed is the implementation of a 10-year management
plan for the 18,819 acre Longleaf Island Unit of the
Ocala National Forest. The management directive is to
maintain the islands in a pleasant and natural condition,
and to develop open, park-like space for dispersed recrea-
tion. Habitat will be developed to support a "huntable"
population of quail. Impact on soils will be primarily
from timber management activities, and trail and camp-
ground construction.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOD EPA DOI USN FPC
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51661)

Black Pine Planning Unit, Sawtooth N.F. 11/06

Idaho
County: Cassia
The proposed land use plan for the Black Pine Planning
Unit, Sawtooth National Forest, closely parallels present
management with these major changes: harvesting of six
million board feet of sawtimber, partial development of
the 51,566-acre roadless area, prescribed burning on
7,000-10,000 acres to thin juniper trees and increase
livestock forage, and provide for an increase in winter
forage for deer. Unavoidable adverse effects include
reduction of both juniper- and sagebrush-dependent species
and increased harvesting of deer during hunting season
(due to improved access).

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP EPA DOI HUD HEW USDA
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51619)

Squaw Creek Planning Unit, Boise N.F. 11/10

Idaho
This land use plan for the Squaw Creek Planning Unit, Boise National Forest, sets forth the allocation of specific land areas to a variety of resources uses and activities. Adverse impacts are alteration of existing vegetation, numerous soil disturbing activities and some negative effects on wildlife.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA AHP
state and local agencies
organizations and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51632)

Middle Fork Boise River Unit, Boise N.F. 11/10

Idaho
County: Elmore Boise
The statement concerns the land use plan for the 329,100 acre Middle Fork Boise River Planning Unit of Boise National Forest. Resource uses and activities provided for in the plan will have short and long term effects on vegetation in the unit. Road, trail, and recreation and administrative facility development will remove some area from vegetative production. Grazing, timber harvest, road construction and dispersed recreation activities will also result in short term higher rates of erosion. Most wildlife species will be affected.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC AHP COE OEO
state agencies, organizations
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51643)

Hebgen Lake Planning Unit, Gallatin N.F. 11/17

Montana
The action consists of implementing land use allocations for 118,655 acres of National Forest lands and waters in the Hebgen Lake land use planning unit. The plan provides a reasonable mix of uses related to the land suitability. The mix of uses range from a wilderness resource to a highly developed recreation resource. Timber production will be continued on lower elevations, and three areas totalling about 28,500 acres are set aside for study as potential wilderness areas. Major impacts will be due to timber harvest access road construction and population increases caused by developments on private lands. They will affect soil, water vegetation, wildlife, fisheries and recreation.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOI EPA

state agencies, business interests
organizations and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51667)

Timberline Lodge Complex, Mt. Hood N.F. 11/04

Oregon
County: Clackamas
Proposed is the establishment of long-term management for the Timberline Lodge complex, in order to preserve the Lodge and make it available for public use and enjoyment. The proposed action focuses on the historic and established use patterns at Timberline Lodge, and includes the construction of a day lodge, a retaining wall and 2 chairlifts. Landscaping and remodeling of the Lodge itself are also included in the project.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP EPA DOT HUD DOI USDA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51613)

Wallowa Valley Unit Resource Allocation 11/06

Oregon
County: Wallowa Baker Union
The proposed resource allocation differs from present management of the Wallowa Valley Planning Unit, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest in that while maintaining commodity levels, certain areas are designated for special non-game wildlife needs and recreation value are given special emphasis. Adverse effects include the loss of 35% of timber production and grazing potential, contamination of streams and lakes by cattle and elk, soil disturbance and changes in vegetation due to timber harvesting, and possible adverse impacts on numerous wildlife species.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USN EPA DOI DOC USA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51622)

Rural Electrification Administration

Draft Date

Anadarko Combined Cycle Unit 11/11

Oklahoma
County: Caddo
The action relates to the use of a guaranteed loan of 72 million dollars to finance the addition of a 280 megawatt combined cycle unit at the Anadarko Generating Station and increased gas pipeline capacity to supply the unit with natural gas. Operation of the unit will result in the emission of nitrogen and sulfur oxides and a small quantity of particulates. The new unit will cause some social and economic impact on Caddo County during construction and operation and could be a factor in the economic development

of the service area. Water discharges will be made to the Washita River.
(ELR ORDER # 51652)

Final — Date

Marion Unit #4, Illinois — 11/21

Illinois
County: Williamson
The action involves the request for guaranteed loan funds by the Southern Illinois Power Co-op for construction of a new 160,000 net killowatt generating unit at the Marion Station located in Williamson County, Illinois. The proposed addition will burn a mixture of coal and "gob" refuse. Some oxides of sulfur and nitrogen along with particulate matter will be released into the atmosphere. also, during the life of the plant there will be environmental effects resulting from the mining of "gob" refuse and coal.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOT DOI EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51696)

Soil Conservation Service

Final — Date

Yantic River Watershed (2) — 11/13

Connecticut
County: New London Tolland
This updated statement for the Yantic River Watershed Project proposes conservation land treatment supplemented by two floodwater retarding structures, and one multiple-purpose floodwater retarding and recreation reservoir with associated facilities and about 1.3 miles of channel work. The dams will inundate about 6,500 feet of trout stream, eliminate woodland use and wildlife habitat in the 250 acres to be in the recreational lake, and modify agricultural and wildlife use of the 31 acres to be occupied by dams and spillways. Eleven owner occupied homes and 31 persons will be displaced.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA HEW DOI DOT EPA FPC
state agency and one individual
(ELR ORDER # 51659)

Upper Bayou Nezpique Watershed — 11/10

Louisiana
County: Evangeline Allen
The project is for watershed protection, flood prevention, drainage, irrigation and recreation in the 214,200-acre Upper Bayou Nezpique Watershed. The floodway, dikes, 8 reservoir embankments and spillways, irrigation pool, sediment pools, borrow areas, and channel rights-of-way will require the loss of 174 agricultural acres and 2182 forested

acres. One family of six persons will be displaced by construction.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW DOI DOT AHP COE EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51638)

Blackwood Creed Watershed 11/11

Nebraska
County: Lincoln Hitchcock Red Willow
The statement refers to the Blackwood Creek Watershed and flood prevention project located in Hayes, Hitchcock, Lincoln, and Red Willow Counties, Nebraska. The plan consists of land treatment and 13 floodwater retarding structures. Adverse impacts include: loss of 380 acres of rangeland, and 1,027 acres of agricultural land; and the permanent inundation of 16 miles of ephemeral streams and the peroidic inundation of 12.6 miles of ephemeral streams.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA USA HEW DOI DOT EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51653)

Upper New River Watershed Project 11/20

South Carolina
County: Beaufort Jasper
This project for watershed protection, flood prevention and drainage in the Upper New River Watershed, Beaufort and Jasper Counties proposes land treatment measures (water management and timber and wildlife habitat improvement) and multiple-purpose flood prevention and drainage channels. Adverse impacts include permanent clearing of trees and shrubs from 150 acres, temporary clearing of 140 acres for soil disposal and noise and dust pollution during construction.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA DOC HEW DOI DOT EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51691)

Elm Creek Watershed Project 11/10

Texas
County: several
The statement concerns a project for watershed protection and flood control on 24,600 acres of cropland, 33,500 acres of pastureland, and 5,400 acres of rangeland. The 45 floodwater retarding structures, to be built over a period of 10 years, will require committment of 2,204 acres. An additional 4,330 acres will be subject to temporary inundation. The project will displace two farms, one family dwelling and the contents of 14 barns, and will result in the average annual loss of $21,000 in agricultural production on the land needed for structural measures.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA HEW DOI DOT EPA AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51640)

of the service area. Water discharges will be made to the Washita River.
(ELR ORDER # 51652)

Final — Date

Marion Unit #4, Illinois — 11/21

Illinois
County: Williamson
The action involves the request for guaranteed loan funds by the Southern Illinois Power Co-op for construction of a new 160,000 net killowatt generating unit at the Marion Station located in Williamson County, Illinois. The proposed addition will burn a mixture of coal and "gob" refuse. Some oxides of sulfur and nitrogen along with particulate matter will be released into the atmosphere. also, during the life of the plant there will be environmental effects resulting from the mining of "gob" refuse and coal.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOT DOI EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51696)

Soil Conservation Service

Final — Date

Yantic River Watershed (2) — 11/13

Connecticut
County: New London Tolland
This updated statement for the Yantic River Watershed Project proposes conservation land treatment supplemented by two floodwater retarding structures, and one multiple-purpose floodwater retarding and recreation reservoir with associated facilities and about 1.3 miles of channel work. The dams will inundate about 6,500 feet of trout stream, eliminate woodland use and wildlife habitat in the 250 acres to be in the recreational lake, and modify agricultural and wildlife use of the 31 acres to be occupied by dams and spillways. Eleven owner occupied homes and 31 persons will be displaced.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA HEW DOI DOT EPA FPC
state agency and one individual
(ELR ORDER # 51659)

Upper Bayou Nezpique Watershed — 11/10

Louisiana
County: Evangeline Allen
The project is for watershed protection, flood prevention, drainage, irrigation and recreation in the 214,200-acre Upper Bayou Nezpique Watershed. The floodway, dikes, 8 reservoir embankments and spillways, irrigation pool, sediment pools, borrow areas, and channel rights-of-way will require the loss of 174 agricultural acres and 2182 forested

acres. One family of six persons will be displaced by construction.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW DOI DOT AHP COE EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51638)

Blackwood Creed Watershed 11/11

Nebraska
County: Lincoln Hitchcock Red Willow
The statement refers to the Blackwood Creek Watershed and flood prevention project located in Hayes, Hitchcock, Lincoln, and Red Willow Counties, Nebraska. The plan consists of land treatment and 13 floodwater retarding structures. Adverse impacts include: loss of 380 acres of rangeland, and 1,027 acres of agricultural land; and the permanent inundation of 16 miles of ephemeral streams and the peroidic inundation of 12.6 miles of ephemeral streams.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA USA HEW DOI DOT EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51653)

Upper New River Watershed Project 11/20

South Carolina
County: Beaufort Jasper
This project for watershed protection, flood prevention and drainage in the Upper New River Watershed, Beaufort and Jasper Counties proposes land treatment measures (water management and timber and wildlife habitat improvement) and multiple-purpose flood prevention and drainage channels. Adverse impacts include permanent clearing of trees and shrubs from 150 acres, temporary clearing of 140 acres for soil disposal and noise and dust pollution during construction.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA DOC HEW DOI DOT EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51691)

Elm Creek Watershed Project 11/10

Texas
County: several
The statement concerns a project for watershed protection and flood control on 24,600 acres of cropland, 33,500 acres of pastureland, and 5,400 acres of rangeland. The 45 floodwater retarding structures, to be built over a period of 10 years, will require committment of 2,204 acres. An additional 4,330 acres will be subject to temporary inundation. The project will displace two farms, one family dwelling and the contents of 14 barns, and will result in the average annual loss of $21,000 in agricultural production on the land needed for structural measures.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA HEW DOI DOT EPA AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51640)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Contact: Dr. Sidney R. Galler
Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Environmental Affairs
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 967-4335

Maritime Administration

Draft — Date

Chemical Waste Incinerator Ship Project — 11/18

The statement concerns the consideration of Maritime Administration support for the development of a U.S. flag operated incineration-at-sea capability. As many as four incinerator vessels may eventually be needed nation-wide. Environmental impact of the proposed action would result from the construction/conversion, operation, maintenance, and repair of the vessels to be built under the project. Once the vessels become operational, there will exist a potential hazard to the marine environment from the accidental release of harmful substances, but under observed safety regulations, high-temperature combustion of toxic materials will have a minimal adverse impact.
(ELR ORDER # 51677)

Nat'l Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.

Final — Date

Commercial Fishing, Marine Mammals — 11/18

The statement concerns the promulgation of rules and proposed issuance of permits to commercial fishermen allowing the taking of marine mammals in the course of normal commercial fishing operations. The statement indicates that the current, fishery-induced mortality should not result in any rapid, long-term reduction in the affected porpoise stocks.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA STAT EPA
State of California, individuals
and organizations
(ELR ORDER # 51679)

Key Largo Coral Reef Marine Sanctuary — 11/25

Florida
Proposed is the establishment of a recreational and esthetic area encompassing corals and associated flora and fauna under Title III of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972. The area will be managed to protect and conserve the coral and coral reef ecosystems, to regulate the uses of the sanctuary, and to provide

opportunity for recreation. Users of the area will be subject to regulation and some activity, such as spear fishing and souvenir collecting, will be precluded.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC DJUS ERDA DOT STAT EPA NASA USCG USDA
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51717)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps

Contact: Dr. C. Grant Ash
Office of Environmental Policy Development
Attn: DAEN-CWR-P
Office of the Chief of Engineers
U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
1000 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20314
(202) 693-6795

Draft — Date

Joe Creek Local Protection Project, Tulsa Oklahoma — 11/10

Proposed is the construction of a local flood protection project in Tulsa, Oklahoma, consisting of about 11,500 feet of channel widening and straightening, and replacement or modification of bridges. Joe Creek and the associated flora and fauna in the area will be changed by construction. The project will require 52 acres of permanent easement and an additional 25 acres of temporary easement during construction. One family will be displaced. (Tulsa District)
(ELR ORDER # 51645)

Calleguas Creek, Ventura County — 11/03

California
County: Ventura
Proposed in this revised draft is the adoption of a program incorporating construction of two flood control channels, flood plain management, and park and trail development for Calleguas Creek, Ventura Co., Calif. Adverse effects include loss of 12 acres of riparian wildlife habitat and urbanization of 185 acres of open space and agricultural land within the Simi Valley and Moorpark flood plain.
(ELR ORDER # 51607)

Port Sonoma Development Project — 11/26

California
County: Sonoma
Proposed is the breaching of a levee on the Petaluma River for the purpose of constructing a water oriented recreation-commercial-light industrial complex at Port Sonoma. Adverse effects of the project include: reduction in the number and proportion of live aquatic organisms; possible entrophication in main project channel and basins; increased dissolved solids and surface water pollution; and loss of agricultural land. (San Francisco District)
(ELR ORDER # 51723)

Panama City Beaches, Erosion & Hurricane Protection 11/10

Florida
The proposed project for beach erosion control and hurricane protection for the Panama City Beaches, Florida, would include 18.5 miles of beach restoration plus an artificial dune and berm between Philips Inlet and the entrance channel into Panama City Harbor. Dredging would cause temporary increased turbidity in localized waters. The animal life most affected by the project would be the less motile benthic invertebrates. (Mobile District)
(ELR ORDER # 51646)

Nearman Creek Generating Station 11/17

Kansas
County: Wyandotte
Proposed is the construction of a 246 Megawatt coal-fired steam-electric generating facility and appurtenant structures on and in the Missouri River between River Miles 377 and 379 in the City of Kansas City, Kansas. The proposed powerplant would convert approximately 725 acres of agricultural land and wildlife habitat to industrial use, and plant operation would result in the release of waste by-products of combustion and a heated discharge into the Missouri River.
(ELR ORDER # 51666)

Paintsville Lake 11/17

Kentucky
The statement is a supplement to a final EIS filed with CEQ 19 August 1971. The Paintsville Lake Project is one of three reservoir projects authorized by the Flood Control Act of 1965 for water resources development in the Big Sandy River Basin. The project is multiple-purpose formulated to include flood control, water quality control, redevelopment and general and fish and wildlife recreation. (Huntington District)
(ELR ORDER # 51648)

Vermilion Lock Replacement, Gulf ICW (2) 11/20

Louisiana
County: Vermilion
The EIS is a revision of a draft EIS filed with CEQ 30 April 1975. The project provides for construction of an earth-chambered sector-gated replacement lock for the existing Vermilion Lock, Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Louisiana Section. The primary adverse impacts will be the loss of 806 acres of marsh and existing vegetation and wildlife, increased turbidity, and the loss of some benthic organisms. (New Orleans District)
(ELR ORDER # 51684)

Cambridge Harbor Channel and Turning Basin 11/20

Maryland
County: Dorchester
Proposed is the maintenance dredging of the approach channel in the Choptank River and Cambridge Creek at the Port of Cambridge, Maryland. Adverse effects of the project include noise generated during construction and inconvenience to navigation during construction. There is also a slight possibility that the floating pipeline containing the spoil material could break and smother nearby oyster bars.
(ELR ORDER # 51693)

Clinton River Channel, Maintenance Dredging 11/06

Michigan
County: several
Proposed is the continuation of maintenance dredging for the Clinton River, Michigan, Federal Navigation Channel. Increased water turbidity in the area of operation will result, and aquatic life in the dredging areas will be disturbed or destroyed. Disposal of dredged sediments will alter habitats and may otherwise adversely affect organiams at the disposal area. (Detroit District)
(ELR ORDER # 51617)

Rail-to-Barge Coal Transfer Facility, St. Louis 11/12

Missouri
The proposed action includes the construction of a 10-million ton/year coal terminal for transferring western coal from unit trains to river barges for transport to locations along the Ohio and Mississippi Rivers. The terminal will be located on the west bank of the Mississippi River in St. Louis, Mo., and will occupy approximately 45 acres of unused industrially-zoned land Adverse effects include loss of marginal wildlife habitat, noise generation, aesthetic intrusion, and interference with local traffic patterns due to increased number of trains. (St. Louis District)
(ELR ORDER # 51657)

Area #8 (Elsberry), Flood Protection 11/24

Missouri
County: Lincoln Pike
The recommended flood protection plan for Agricultural Area No. 8 involves the reconstruction of 24.2 miles of levee, replacement of existing pump and gravity drainage structures, and the acquisition of easements (estates) to preserve and enhance the fish and wildlife habitat in the project area. One of the most significant adverse impacts would involve the clearing of 208 acres of timber

and old field wildlife habitat, and the possible conversion of additional timbered land to cropland over time. Other adverse impacts include disruptive effects upon fish in permanent water habitats and the removal of several buildings and the inhabitants thereof. (St. Louis District)
(ELR ORDER # 51702)

Pine Brook Flood Control Project, Manalapan

New Jersey
County: Monmouth
The recommended plan of flood protection is channelization consisting of widening and deepening approximately 6000 feet of the Pine Brook, Manalapan Township, New Jersey. The newly straightened channel would extend from the vicinity of the Pension Road Bridge to 700 feet upstream of Winthrop Dirve Bridge. Adverse impacts include removal of vegetation, some loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat and the change of aesthetics to a modified appearance of the stream and land. (New York District)
(ELR ORDER # 51705)

Cape Fear R.: Maintenance Above Wilmington 11/06

North Carolina
County: several
Proposed is the continued maintenance of the existing navigation program from Wilmington to Fayetteville, N.C. Maintenance consists of removal of shoals from the waterway and operation of the 3 locks and dams. Removal of shoals and disposal of dredged material has adverse effects on the water and associated life forms. (Wilmington District)
(ELR ORDER # 51625)

Chagrin River Flood Control and Navigation (3) 11/03

Ohio
County: Lake
The statement is the second revision of a draft filed with CEQ 19 December 1972. Proposed is a plan of improvement for Eastlake, Chagrin River, Ohio which would reduce flood damages within the Chagrin River Basin, provide safer river and harbor navigation, and provide increased recreational fishing opportunity and access at Eastlake. Approximately 6.6 acres of terrestrial vegetation will be destroyed by construction and about 6.4 acres of lake bottom will be lost. (Buffalo District)
(ELR ORDER # 51606)

Arcadia Lake, Deep Fork River, Oklahoma 11/06

Oklahoma
County: Tulsa
Proposed is the construction of a multi-purpose lake

on the Deep Fork River 1 1/2 miles west of Arcadia, Oklahoma, and 6 miles east of Edmond. The project will require the acquisition of 4,200 acres in agricultural lands and 2,800 acres of rural homesite lands. Necessary relocations would include highways, railroads, and utilities in the ares, as well as an estimated 50 graves and 45 families. A small independent school district would be adversely affected. (Tulsa District)
(ELR ORDER # 51620)

Ft. Howard Paper Co., Pulp and Paper Mill 11/06

Oklahoma
County: Muskogee
Proposed is the construction on and in the Arkansas River, in the area of Muskogee Co., Oklahoma, of a barge loading dock and intake and outlet facilities for a process water system which are directly related to the recycled -deinked pulp and paper mill. Adverse effects include destruction or modification of existing ground cover and significant impact on wildlife habitat. Water intake would adversely affect plankton, fish, fish eggs, and larvae carried into the system. (Tulsa District)
(ELR ORDER # 51621)

Murrells Inlet Navigation Project 11/10

South Carolina
County: Georgetown
The recommended improvements for the Murrells Inlet Navigation Project consist of the following: dredging an entrance channel and deposition basin; constructing north and south jetties; constructing a fish walkway on top of the south jetty; and constructing sand dunes on both sides of the inlet to tie the jetties to the existing dune line. Adverse effects include destruction of some benthic organisms and smothering of invertebrates. (Charleston District)
(ELR ORDER # 51641)

Dale Hollow Lake, Continued O & M 11/24

Tennessee
County: Clay
Proposed is the continued operation and maintenance of a dam and lake authorized for flood control and hydroelectric power generation, and operation and management of outdoor recreation facilities at Dale Hollow Lake, Obey Lake, Tennessee. Adverse impacts include localized water degradation as a result of overloaded wastewater treatment facilities, disturbance of vegetation, and boat concentrations near heavily used recreation area. Also, spawning is generally adversely affected by control of the lake for flood control. (Nashville District)
(ELR ORDER # 51700)

Fort Hood Land Acquisition 11/07

Texas
County: Coryell
The proposed action is to acquire 59,300 acres of land adjacent to the west boundary of the Fort Hood Military Reservation. The purpose of this action is to provide Fort Hood with adequate land area to permit realistic modern-day battlefield conditions for training. About 156 families will be relocated, and the town of Pidcoke will be removed and the life-styles of families who will be relocated outside the area will be disrupted. (Fort Worth District)
(ELR ORDER # 51627)

Carl L. Estes Lake, Sabine River 11/24

Texas
County: several
Proposed is the construction and operation of a multiple-purpose reservoir project on the Sabine River, Sabine River Basin, Texas, which will fulfill needs for flood control, water supply, fish and wildlife, and recreation. The project will require acquisition and subsequent change in land use of approximately 68, 700 acres of land. Adverse impacts include: inundation of land; loss of wildlife habitat; negative effects upon 91 archeological resources; and relocation of families, cemetaries, roads and utility lines. (Fort Worth District)
(ELR ORDER # 51712)

Portsmouth Refinery and Terminal 11/20

Virginia
The Hampton Roads Energy Company proposes to construct a refinery and marine terminal for the handling and production of petroleum products. The complex would produce daily a combined total of more than 7 million gallons of gasoline, jet fuel, fuel oil, butane, propane and other related products. Adverse impacts include loss of vegetation, animal habitat, trees, cropland on the site and possible indirect impacts on small nearby creeks. A total of 470 acres will be acquired for the project.
(Norfolk District)
(ELR ORDER # 51685)

Final Date

Noyo Harbor Maintenance Dredging 11/10

California
County: Mendocino

The statement refers to the continued maintenance dredging of the entrance channel and the harbor channel at approximately one to two-year intervals. Maintenance consists of dredging approximately 45,000 cubic yards of material annually. Spoil will be deposited at a land disposal site, where 5 acres of grassland will be covered. Marine biota will be adversely affected. (San Francisco District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOT HEW EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51633)

Petaluma River, Maintenance Dredging 11/10

California
County: Sonoma Marin
The project provides for maintenance dredging of 1,100,000 cu.yds. of sediment from the Petaluma River Navigation Channel. Apprximately 440,000 cu. yds. of material will be hydraulically dredged from the upper river channel and deposited on land and 660,000 cu. yds. to be clamshell dredged from the channel in San Pablo Bay and deposited at an authorized water disposal site. Adverse effects include release of sediments and of absorbed chemicals into the water, transitory increase in turbidity during dredging and water disposal, and loss in potential for wetlands development. (San Francisco District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HEW DOI USDA DOT AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51635)

Cattaraugus Creek Harbor, Flood Control 11/24

New York
County: Chautauqua Erie
The statement refers to the proposed plan for navigation, flood control and related purposes in Cattaraugus Creek Harbor at Chautauqua and Erie Counties, NY. The plan calls for: breakwaters in southern Lake Erie; a bern extending from the inner end of the north breakwater northerly to high ground; an entrance channel from deep water in the lake to the inner channel; an inner channel from the entrance channel upstream approxiately 2,900 feet; and, two levees on the left bank, aggregating about 770 feet in length. Adverse impacts include: temporary noise and dust during construction; permanent loss of 13 acres of bottom habitat; and, land use changes caused by shoreline development.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USCG USDA DOI DOC EPA HEW
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51711)

Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, N.C. 11/10

North Carolina
County: several
The statement concerns the removal of shoals from 308 miles

of intracoastal waterway from the North Carolina-Virginia State Line to Little River, South Carolina during the coming 50-year project life. Dredging will cause temporary turbidity and water pollution, and disposal of dredged material will have adverse effects on disposal areas. (Wilmington District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA HUD DOI DOT HEW DOC
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51639)

Shidler Lake, Salt Creek 11/13

Oklahoma
County: Osage
The statement refers to the Shidler Lake damsite to be located at river mile 39.2 on Salt Creek in Osage County near Shidler, Oklahoma. Project purposes are flood control, water supply, recreation, and fish and wildlife. The project consists of an earth-fill dam, an uncontrolled saddle spillway, a gated flood control outlet works with water supply and low flow facilities, access roads, and project buildings. Adverse impacts are the inundation of 8.8 miles of stream that will result in a loss of wildlife habitat, and the degradation of ten archeological sites due to shore-erosion, land use change, and inundation. (Tulsa District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOT USDA ARP
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51660)

Cedar Bayou Channel, Maintenance Dredging 11/10

Texas
County: Chambers Harris
The project involves the continuation of maintenance of the existing Federal navigation project in Chambers and Harris Counties by periodic removal of shoaled materials. The authorized project consists of a 5.7 mile long shallow-draft channel from the Houston Ship Channel to mile 3.0 above the mouth of Cedar Bayou. Dredged materials will be placed in the open waters of Galveston Bay and in leveed land disposal areas. Adverse impacts include increased turbidity, degradation of water quality, loss of marine habitat, and possible objectionable odors as disposal sites become emergent. (Galveston District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD DOI ARP DOT
state and local agencies
private company and one individual
(ELR ORDER # 51634)

Group Harbor and Chehalis River Navigation Project 11/20

Washington
County: Grays Harbor

The statement refers to the ongoing and proposed maintenance activities comprising the Grays Harbor and Chehalis River Navigation Project, including maintenance dredging for harbor navigation channels and turning basins and the construction, rehabilitation, and maintenance of the North Jetty, the South Jetty, erosion protection works at Point Chehalis, and breakwaters at Westhaven Cove. Adverse impacts are the loss of 125 acres of tidelands annually due to deposition of dredged materials, the removal of organisms in the channel trough, and short-term negative effects associated with the rehabilitation of the North Jetty.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA HUD USDA DOT
state and local agencies
and individuals

(ELR ORDER # 51683)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy

Contact: Mr. Peter M. McDavitt
Special Assistant to the Assistant
Secretary of the Navy (Installations
and Logistics)
Washington D.C. 20350
(202) 692-3227

Final — Date

ORV Recreation, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake — 11/03

California
The statement refers to the establishment of an off-road vehicle recreation area at the Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, California. Three sites are under consideration for this purpose and would require, respectively, 920, 620, or 180 acres of land. Higher noise levels during the daylight hours will result, as well as slightly higher dust levels in the recreation area.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI AHP
state and local agencies,
private organizations and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51601)

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMIN.

Contact: Mr. W. Herbert Pennington
Office of Assistant Administrator
E-201, ERDA
Washington, D.C. 20545
(301) 973-4241

Draft | Date

Brookhaven National Laboratory | 11/26

New York
County: Suffolk
Proposed is the continued operation of Brookhaven National Laboratory in Upton, Brookhaven Town, New York. Twenty acres of the 5265-acre site may not be suitable for future uses because of contamination. Research and development activities of the laboratory require the use of 200 million kWh of electricty and 7 million gallons of fossilfuels annually. Radioactive contamination risk is considered small.
(ELR ORDER # 51726)

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Robert Stern
Director, Office of Environmental Impact
Federal Energy Administration
New Post Office Building Room 7119
12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20461
(202) 961-8621

Draft	Date
Natural Gas Emergency Standby Act of 1975	11/24

The statement concerns the enactment of the Natural Gas Emergency Standby Act of 1975. The intent of the Act is to prevent or ameliorate the economic and social impacts of impending natural gas curtailments. The principal environmental impact would be adverse changes in air quality due to switching from natural gas to alternative fuels that would produce greater air pollutant emissions. The term of the legislation is from its date of enactment through June 30, 1977.
(ELR ORDER # 51707)

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Contact: Dr. Richard F. Hill
Acting Advisor on Environmental Quality
441 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20426
(202) 386-6084

Draft — Date

Gerald Gentleman Station, Project #1835 — 11/24

Nebraska
The statement concerns approval of a license amendment application of the Nebraska Public Power District to revise the project boundary and to permit the use of 720 acres of project lands and waters in conjunction with operation of the Gerald Gentleman Station. The coal fired station would produce a total of 1,300 MW when operated at maximum capacity. Construction would include 13,700 feet of canals, 7,750 feet of dikes, 11,500 feet of reservoir intake channels, a mixing basin, reservoir pumping facilities, and associated water control structures, some of which is already constructed. Adverse impacts include increased air pollution and raised water temperatures.
(ELR ORDER # 51703)

Final — Date

El Paso Natural Gas Co., Curtailment — 11/10

The action consists of FPC's analysis of one permanent curtailment plan for the El Paso Natural Gas Pipeline system. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases and the increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Alternatives considered in the statement are unregulated curtailment and new sources of gas supplies. Rate structure and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
COMMENTS MADE BY:
state and regional agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51629)

Cities Service Pipeline System Curtailment — 11/17

The statement consists of FPC's analysis of one permanent curtailment plan for the Cities Service Pipeline System. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are: the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed gas, the associated cost increases, and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. The statement also includes two alternatives: unregulated curtailment and new sources of gas supplies. Reference is made to the fact that the rate structure and deregula-

tion are not included as alternatives for curtailment.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51672)

Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company 11/24

The statement analyzes three permanent natural gas curtailment plans for the Panhandle Eastern Pipeline System. Adverse effects include those occurring from increased use of coal and fuel oil and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates.
COMMENTS MADE BY:
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51709)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Andrew E. Kauders
Executive Director of Environmental Affairs
General Services Administration
18th and F Streets, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 343-4161

Draft — Date

Federal Bldg. - US Courthouse, E. St. Louis — 11/04

Illinois
County: Madison St. Clair
The proposed action is the construction of a Federal Building - US Courthouse and Parking Facility in East St. Louis, Illinois. The building will accomodate approximately 125 employees when fully staffed. Construction disruption will result.
(ELR ORDER # 51610)

Final — Date

Federal Office Building, Carbondale, Illinois — 11/18

Illinois
County: Jackson
The proposed action is the construction of a Federal Office Building with parking to accomodate approximately 85 vehicles. The building will contain approximately 20,000 square feet of office space for 108 employees. The adverse impacts include the possibility of a small, temporary overload on sewage facilities if the city's sewage system improvements are not completed as scheduled, and temporary construction disruption and incinvenience.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA DOI HUD DOT EPA
state and local agencies
and two individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51676)

Fort Holabird Disposal, Baltimore — 11/17

Maryland
County: Baltimore
The action consists of the disposal of 226.85 acres of Fort Holabird, Baltimore City as follows: approximately 179.20 acres through negotiated sale with the City of Baltimore, approximately 37 acres by assignment to the Bureau of Outdoor recreation for conveyance to the City of Baltimore for park and recreation purposes, approximately 4 acres by assignment to EOR for conveyance to Baltimore County, and approximately 6.65 acres through Sealed Bid Sale. Adverse effects to the environment would result from increase in noise, emission pollutants due to increased traffic, in-

crease in sewage, water, and other utilities including solid waste disposal.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOI DOT EPA
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51669)

Federal Youth Center, Bastrop, Texas 11/24

Texas
County: Bastrop
The project consists of construction of 145,000 square feet of space for a Federal Youth Center to be operated by the Bureau of Prisons near the city of Bastrop, Texas. Adverse impacts include minor increases in traffic volume and noise at the site, slight degradation of air quality due to the increased traffic, and temporary construction disruption. (167 pages)

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP DJUS HEW COE DOI EPA USDA HUD
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51716)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Broun
Director, Office of Environmental Quality
Room 7258
451 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6308

Draft — Date

Tallapoosa County Water Supply System — 11/24

Alabama
County: Tallapoosa
The action consists of analysis of a proposed water system for the Towns of Daviston and New Site, Alabama, funded under the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974. The project will cause minor temporary erosion and siltation during construction.
(ELR ORDER # 51714)

Pier Point Development, Colorado — 11/03

Colorado
County: Arapahoe
Proposed is the approval of FHA mortgage insurance for the construction of Pier Point, a Planned Development, in Aurora, Colorado. The complex would contain 834 units of multifamily and single family attached housing. Construction of Pier Point would result in significant disruption of the existing plant and animal communities on the site, an increase in the load on the Aurora and Denver area infrastructure, and an increase in automobile generated pollutants.
(ELR ORDER # 51603)

Seven Lakes, A Planned Community — 11/13

Colorado
County: Arapahoe
The statement concern the development of Seven Lakes, a Planned Community Zone District in the City of Aurora, Colorado. The applicant, Alton Associates, will sell developed lots on 623.1 acres to builders for construction of single and multifamily homes. Adverse impacts of the project include extensive modifications of the Unnamed Creek channel and a possible raising of the water table, a severe impact on the existing prairie vegetation and wildlife, a severe impact on the adjacent Plains Conservation Center and the present deer migration pathways, an increase in the load on the metropolitan region infrastructure, and an increase in automobile generated pollutants.
(ELR ORDER # 51662)

Cannongate Development, Palm Beach 11/11

Florida
County: Palm Beach
The statement concerns the provision of mortgage insurance under Title X for the Cannongate Planned Unit Development. The 112.18 acre development is located 4 miles Southwest of downtown West Palm Beach, and will include 673 residential units of quadraplex and town house types, common green areas, and recreational facilities. Adverse impacts include the additional demand created on utilities, municipal and community services and facilities, increased surface water run-off, traffic congestion, and noise and air pollution.
(ELR ORDER # 51650)

Lakes of Acadia Development 11/13

Florida
County: Dade
The statement concerns an FHA loan guarantee for the construction of Lakes of Acadia, a 160 acre development. The project will include 578 townhouse units and 362 duplexes, recreational facilities, a school site and commercial area. The project will eliminate the country atmosphere of the area and will increase the continuing urban sprawl to the west and northwest and the demand for potable water, sewage and solid waste disposal.
(ELR ORDER # 51658)

Le Chalet, Palm Beach Co. 11/20

Florida
County: Palm Beach
The statement concerns the proposed construction of Le Chalet Planned Unit Development in Palm Beach County, Florida. The master plan of the eastern half contains 702.7 acres, plus an offsite commercial area of 19 acres. Construction will consist of 1990 single family detached lots, an 18 hole golf course, two commercial areas, park, governmental complex, school, and scattered lakes throughout, in nine phases of development. Construction disruption and increased traffic will result.
(ELR ORDER # 51692)

Parkersburg Urban Renewal 11/20

West Virginia
County: Wood
The statement concerns an urban renewal project in the central city of Parkersburg, West Virginia. Since acquisition is 90% complete and demolition is 75% complete, only the remaining activities, especially the removal of two local landmarks, the Wood County Courthouse and Jail, are addressed. The plan provides for an efficient ve-

hicular circulation system, a compatible mix of governmental uses and a hotel. Should the Wood County Courthouse and Jail remain, extensive project replanning will be required. Moreover, financial feasibility is likely to jeapardize project completion.
(ELR ORDER # 51690)

Final — Date

Murray Industrial Park, Chelsea, Mass. — 11/20

Massachusetts
The statement refers to the proposed 103.4 acre Murray Industrial Park Urban Renewal Project in Chelsea. The project would provide for commercial, industrial, and residential uses of the area, part of which was destroyed in a fire in October, 1973. Adverse impact will result from construction disruption, and from the close proximity of Logan Airport.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA USDA DOI EPA HEW DOT
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51694)

"The Woods" Subdivision, Dallas Co. — 11/04

Texas
County: Dallas
The statement concerns HUD administrative approval of the construction of a predominantly residential subdivision, "The Woods" by Fox and Jacobs, Inc. as an "FHA" processed housing development. The project will convert 1,800 acres of agricultural land in Southwest Dallas County to a community containing 5,400 dwelling units. The development will spur suburban growth. The proposed development would create greater demand for fossil fuels and would permanently remove agricultural land from potential production.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA COE DOI EPA DOT DOC
state agencies, individuals,
and private organizations
(ELR ORDER # 51608)

Section 104(h)

Draft — Date

Beretania-Smith St. Parking Facility, Honolulu — 11/20

Hawaii
County: Honolulu
The Beretania-Smith Project is planned as a mixed use residential-commercial-parking development. The project will replace the existing municipal parking lot with a five-level parking structure containing 1,100 parking stalls. Two separate residential towers containing 348 dwelling units and a recreation area are proposed for the

sixth level of the structure. Commercial areas will be provided on the street level. The adverse environmental effects include an increase intraffic in the area. There will be some impact on existing school and recreational facilities.
(ELR ORDER # 51686)

P. Omaha Relocation and Land Development 11/20

Nebraska
Proposed is the relocation of East Omaha residents so that the site may be developed into a more compatable land use, i.e., commercial and industrial. Phase I of the project will involve the acquisition of 77.76 acres of principally residential property, relocation of all residents and demolition of the vacated structures. Phase II consists of converting the vacant City owned property into marketable commercial and industrial sites. The relocation of present residents is the primary adverse impact.
(ELR ORDER # 51689)

Coamo Solid Waste Disposal Site 11/24

Puerto Rico
The project consists of the acquisition of 12 acres of land for expanding the solid waste disposal facilities located in Barrio Rio Jueyes. The life expectancy of the 20 acre site is 5 years. The primary adverse effect of the sanitary landfill will be the leachates and gasses generated from solid waste decomposition.
(ELR ORDER # 51706)

Final Date

Dangerous Buildings and Demolition Program, Kansas 11/24

Missouri
The statement concerns a program to demolish dangerous buildings in Kansas City. Adverse impacts include: traffic congestion, increase in ambient noise level, increase in soot generation, loss of cover vegetation, erosion, sedimentation and siltation, and compaction and settling.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HEW EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51713)

Arcadia Industrial Park, Newark NY 11/26

New York
County: Wayne
The statement concerns the allocation of Community Development Block Grant funds for the construction of a road access and sewer and water lines up to the property line

of the proposed Arcadia Industrial Park. The park is located north of the Barge Canal in the western sector of the Village of Newark. Construction of Edgett Street will require the placement of fill north of the Barge Canal. Increased noise levels, truck traffic, and commuter traffic will be inevitable.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC DOI
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51722)

Rodeo Lake Surface Drainage, Othello 11/18

Washington
County: Adams
The proposed action is the drainage of a seepage lake (Rodeo Lake) for the purpose of eliminating flooding of septic tanks and surface water conditions on residential suburban area adjacent to Othello City. The project will result in loss of the seepage lake, loss of fish population and reduction of wildlife population.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51678)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard
Director, Environmental Project Review
Room 7260
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Final — Date

Ute Mountain Ute Tribal Lands Mineral Lease — 11/24

Colorado
County: Montezuma
The statement concerns the approval of a lease of Ute Mountain Ute Tribal Lands to Mobil Oil Corporation for the purpose of exploration and possible mining of uranium and related minerals. The plan consists of a four-year exploration phase, a four-year development phase and approximately twelve years of mining and processing. The biological community and aesthetics of the area will be noticeably and adversely impacted. (73 pages)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HEW EPA FPA
state and local agencies
and one tribe
(ELR ORDER # 51698)

Bureau of Land Management

Final — Date

Northern Gulf of Alaska Oil and Gas Leasing — 11/19

Alaska
The statement concerns a proposed oil and gas lease sale of 1.8 million acres of OCS lands located in the northern Gulf of Alaska. Adverse environmental impacts include accidental and/or chronic oil spills, pipeline and onshore facility construction, offshore terminal/platform construction and the dumping of drill cuttings.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA DOC DOT USCG EPA HUD ERDA
state agencies, industry
and environmental groups
(ELR ORDER # 51682)

Bureau of Outdoor Recreation

Final — Date

Minnesota Memorial Hardwood Forest — 11/26

Minnesota
County: several

Proposed is the acquisition by the State of Minnesota of 200,00 acres, through the Land and Water COnservation Fund. Purchase will be over a thirty year period. There will be some development for recreation, parking lots, and access roads. Some families will have to be relocated due to the action.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA DOT FPC
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51720)

Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife

Draft — Date

National Wildlife Refuge System — 11/18

The statement examines continued operation of the National Wildlife Refuge System approximately at the present level of activity. Annual funding requirements are estimated at $22 million, to continue the preservation of selected physical resources, key fish and wildlife habitats, and overall environmental quality in representative wildlife ecosystems. Such activities as timber cutting, diking, vegetative manipulation, and facility construction will result in temporary adverse effects.
(ELR ORDER # 51680)

Final — Date

Proposed Lake Woodruff Wilderness Area — 11/25

Florida
County: Volusia Lake
Proposed is the legislative designation of 958 acres of island habitat in the Lake Woodruff National Wildlife Refuge as wilderness within the National Wilderness Preservation System. Wilderness designation would remove some future management options.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51719)

Proposed Lacassine National Wildlife Refuge — 11/04

Louisiana
County: Cameron
Proposed is the legislative designation of 2,854 acres of the Lacassine Wildlife Refuge as wilderness within the National Wilderness Preservation System. The major impact of the proposal would be the removal of some future management options.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOD DOI
one state agency
(ELR ORDER # 51612)

Proposed Noxubee Wilderness Area 11/20

Mississippi
County: Oktibbeha
Proposed is the legislative designation of 1,200 acres of the Noxubee National Wildlife Refuge as wilderness within the National Wilderness Preservation System. The option to develop and intensively manage for maximum wildlife production would be foregone.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC USDA DOI
state and local agencies
(FLR ORDER # 51688)

Proposed Wilderness Area, North Carolina 11/20

North Carolina
County: Dare Carteret Hyde
The statement refers to the proposed legislative designation of several new wilderness areas. Included would be 590 acres of Mattamuskeet National Wildlife Refuge, 9,000 acres of Swanquarter Refuge, 160 acres of Pea Island Refuge, and 180 acres of Cedar Island Refuge. As a result of the action, several management options would be precluded.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA USDA DOT DOC DOD DOI
state agency
(FLR ORDER # 51687)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. A. Giambusso, Director of Division
of Reactor Licensing
P-722, NRC
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 492-7373

Draft — Date

Palo Verde Nuclear Sta., Units 1, 2, & 3 (Suppl.) — 11/25

Arizona
County: Maricopa
Proposed is the issuance of construction permits to he Arizona Public Service Corporation for the construction of the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. The station will produce up to 3817 MWt and 1270 MWe (net) of electric power capacity for each unit. The exhaust steam will be cooled by mechanical-draft cooling towers with makeup water obtained from piped sewage effluent from Phoenix. The construction will require the clearing of up to 2500 acres of land and adverse aesthetic and and social impacts. The supplement covers modifications resulting from participation of Southern California Edison in the project.
(ELR ORDER # 51718)

Monague Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2 — 11/06

Massachusetts
County: Franklin
The proposed action is the issuance of construction permits to the Northeast Nuclear Energy Company for the construction of the Montague Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located on the Connecticut River in the Town of Montague. The plant will employ two boiling-water reactors to product up to 3579 megawatts thermal each and 1150 MWe each. A design power level of 3759 MWt and 1220 MWe for each unit is anticipated. Construction will require the disturbance of 200 acres of the 1900 acre site. Cooling water will be drawn from and discharged to the Connecticut R. Approximately 118 miles of transmission lines will be constructed.
(ELR ORDER # 51626)

Final — Date

Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1 — 11/10

Kansas
County: Coffey
Proposed is the issuance of a construction permit to Kansas Gas and Electric Co. and Kansas City Power and Light Co. for the construction of the Wolf Creek Generating

Station. The station will produce up to approximately 3425 MWt and 1150 MWe, and a design power level of 3579 MWt is anticipated and included in the statement. Water for cooling will be taken from John Redmond Reservoir and discharged into Wolf Creek. Construction will disturb about 200 of the total 10,500 acre site, and will disturb approximately 50 households, of which 25 would be displaced. Approximately 180 miles of transmission lines will be constructed.
COMMENTS MADE BY: ARP USDA COE DOC HEW HUD DOI ERDA EPA state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51636)

Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 Operation 11/05

Ohio
County: Ottawa
The proposed action is the issuance of an operating license to the Toledo Edison Company and the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company for the startup and operation of the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Unit 1. The station will have a capacity to produce 2772 MWt and 906 MWe. The cooling tower blowdown and service water which the station discharges to Lake Erie, via a submerged jet, will be heated as much as 20 degrees above ambiant. Approximately 3345 curies per year of gaseous radioactive wastes may be discharged into the atmosphere.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC ERDA HEW DOI DOT EPA state agencies, local groups and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51616)

OHIO RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

Draft	Date
Monongahela River Basin Study	11/20

Maryland Pennsylvania West Virginia
The statement concerns the recommendation of a plan for implementation of one major reservoir, one upstream watershed project, flood zoning and insurance at 13 locations, four local protection projects, 104 municipal wastewater treatment facilities, 130 mile drainage abatement areas, and the replacement of one navigation lock and one lock and dam. Adverse impacts of the plan include the inundation of 4,376 acres of open and green space, disruption of 55 miles of existing natural stream channel by four projects, and the possible loss of 2,650 acres of coal resources and associated jobs.
(ELR ORDER # 51695)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director
Office of Environmental Affairs
400 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

Federal Aviation Administration

Draft — Date

Reedley Municipal Airport, California — 11/03

California
County: Fresno Tulare
The proposed action includes the establishment of a new municipal airport on a site now partially occupied by the Great Western Airport. It is proposed to develop a Basic Utility Airport - Stage I, primarily intended to serve as a low-activity, rural facility for small piston aircraft. Adverse impacts include the decrease of existing vegetation on the project site. The recommended site also has the disadvantage of being adjacent to the Great Western Elementary School.
(ELR ORDER # 51604)

New General Aviation Airport, Baton Rouge — 11/24

Louisiana
Proposed is the request for federal funds under the Airport Development Aid Program for the development of a new general aviation airport to replace the recently closed Downtown Airport, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. The proposed development would include: acquisition of 530 acres of land; construction of a new runway, taxiway, and parking apron; and construction of access roads, vehicle parking area, hangars and office space. Adverse impacts include the displacement of people and residences.
(ELR ORDER # 51699)

North Bend Municipal Airport Development — 11/18

Oregon
The proposed action involves the development of the North Bend Municipal Airport, North Bend, Oregon. Development items include: a runway extension of 1,987 feet on the Runway 4 end, a 200-foot runway safety area and an approach lighting system beyond the extended Runway 4 end, a fill of approximately 45.7 acres of the mudflat southwest of the existing Runway 4 end for the runway extension and runway safety ares, and a runway extension of 700 feet on the Runway 31 end. Adverse effects are loss of benthic flora and fauna, reduced area for recreation, and reduced productivity of the estuary as a whole.
(ELR ORDER # 51675)

Lopez Island Airport Improvement 11/24

Washington
The proposed action is to grant funds to improve the physical and operational characteristics of the existing Lopez Island Airport, Lopez Island, Washington. Initial stage of development will include acquisition of options and easements on 47 acres of land. Major development items include a new replacement runway parallel to and 150' to the west of the existing runway. Adverse impacts include the clearing and topping of 41 acres of wooded area, and subsequent diminishment of habitat and cover.
(ELR ORDER # 51697)

Final Date

Mount Comfort Airport 11/11

Indiana
County: Hancock
The proposed project involves the acquisition of 1100 acres of land, the construction and marking of a 3200' x 60' temporary runway and apron, the construction of earthworks and drainages for future runways, and related work. Construction of the facility will necessitate the relocation of six families. Increases in noise and air pollution will occur.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOT COE AHP USDA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51649)

Mason County Airport, Ludington 11/04

Michigan
County: Mason
The statement refers to the proposed development of the Mason County Airport in Ludington. The project consists of land acquisition for runway extension and clear zone, surfacing of a runway and taxiway, installation of a two-box visual approach slope indicator (VASI) on each end of Runway 7-25, and construction and installation of lighting on a northeasterly extension of Runway 7-25. Adverse impacts are road closures, loss of wildlife habitat and increases in air and noise pollution.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USPS USDA HUD EPA DOI DOT COE AHP
state and local agencies
and private individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51611)

Concorde Supersonic Transport 11/14

New York Virginia
The proposed action is an amendment of British Airways and Air France operations specifications to permit these

carriers to conduct limited commerical service with the Concorde, a civil supersonic transport aircraft, to John F. Kennedy International Airport in New York and Dulles International Airport in Virginia. The proposal would permit both British Airways and Air France to conduct two flights daily to JFK and one flight daily to Dulles commencing in early 1976. Increases in noise and emission levels would result from introduction of Concorde service.

COMMENTS MADE BY: CEQ EPA DOT DOC USDA DOD DLAB OMB HEW HUD DOI concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 51664)

Federal Highway Administration

Draft — Date

Minnesota Drive Extension, Anchorage — 11/17

Alaska
Proposed is the construction of a 4-lane controlled-access roadway within the Greater Anchorage Area Borough, beginning at the junction of Minnesota Drive and International Airport Road and continuing a total distance of 4.9 miles. A moderate level of air and noise pollution will result, and there will be loss of natural vegetation and wildlife habitat. A maximum of 31 families and three businesses will be displaced. Because the highway would cross the Campbell Creek Greenbelt, a 4f statement is included.
(ELR ORDER # 51671)

Kalanianaole Highway (FAP 72) Improvement — 11/17

Hawaii
County: Honolulu
The proposed action is approval of one of four recommended alternate improvements to upgrade the transportation service capabilities of existing FAP 72, in the City and County of Honolulu, Oahu, Hawaii. These improvements include: two alternative configurations of highway lanes incorporating an at grade, exclusive and reversible busway lane; with or without a waterborne marine bus system. Eighteen to 37 residences and 70 to 130 persons will be displaced. Project implementation would cause permanent increase in noise levels.
(ELR ORDER # 51673)

Elk City Hwy., Idaho Forest Hwy. 18 (SR 14) — 11/10

Idaho
County: Idaho

The proposed action is to reconstruct 19.3 miles of the 49.5 mile Elk City Highway within the Nez Perce National Forest, thus providing a 2-lane paved road adequate for heavy traffic. Approximately 82 acres of land would be permanently committed to pavement and shoulders, and another 200 acres cleared for side slopes and ditches would be exposed until revegetation takes place. Two residences and one business will be displaced.
(ELR ORDER # 51630)

Indiana Project I-164 11/04

Indiana
County: Vanderburgh
Proposed is the construction of Interstate Highway 164 Spur near Evansville from Interstate Highway 64 on the north to a point approximately 14.3 miles south in the vicinity of SR 62 on the west on Newburgh Road on the east, Vanderburgh County, Indiana. Some destruction of wildlife and alteration of wildlife patterns will result, and the taking of cropland and pastureland for right-of-way is unavoidable. There will be some displacement of businesses and families. Eight alternatives to the proposed plan are being considered.
(ELR ORDER # 51614)

US 2, Manistique River Bridge Relocation 11/13

Michigan
County: Schoolcraft
This project proposes to construct a new US 2 Manistique River bridge, on new location, within the City of Manistique, Michigan. Relocated US 2 bridge approaches would be .3 to 3.1 miles in length depending on the alternative chosen, of tree access design,and would have from two to five lanes. Adverse impacts include the possible displacement of families and businesses, loss of wildlife habitat, and the removal of a major portion of any vegetation within the project right-of-way.
(ELR ORDER # 51663)

US-131, 19 Mile Road to US-131 North 11/24

Michigan
County: several
Proposed is the construction of a segment of US-131, which would begin at 19 Mile Road, north of the City of Big Rapids in Mecosta County and would terminate at LeRoy Road, north of the Village of Ashton in Osceola County, Michigan. The length of the segment is approximately 20 miles. Adverse impacts include: disruption of existing aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, displacement of 30 residences and 2 businesses, and the acquisition of between 500 and 600 acres of agricultural land.
(ELR ORDER # 51704)

Draft | Date

South Midtown Freeway (Route 71) | 11/11

Missouri
County: Jackson
The proposed 10.2 mile highway improvement consists of an 8-lane freeway, constructed on a new location with limited access right-of-way from the Interchange of Route I-35-I-70 in the downtown loop to 95th Street, Jackson County, in Kansas City, Missouri. The occupants of 1650 separate living units and 17 churches will be displaced. Thirteen school districts and nine fire districts will be affected by the improvement. A 4(f) statement is included.
(ELR ORDER # 51651)

US 52, Welcome to Lexington, North Carolina | 11/19

North Carolina
County: Davidson
Proposed is the reconstruction on new alignment of US 52 from just north of Welcome southward to Lexington, North Carolina. The project is the remaining segment of an overall plan to upgrade US 52 to a 4-lane, controlled access highway between Interstate 40 in Winston Salem and Interstate 85 in Lexington. The major adverse environmental impacts would be the relocation of 25 to 50 homes and 1 to 5 businesses. The project would also result in the conversion of existing farm and woodlands to highway right-of-way and some degree of noise pollution.
(ELR ORDER # 51681)

PR-10, Arecibo-Ponce | 11/24

Puerto Rico
Proposed is the improvement of PR-10 in Arecibo-Ponce, Puerto Rico. The improved 2-4 land route would cover a distance of 51-60 kilometers, depending upon the alternative chosen, and would extend from DeDiego Expressway in Arecibo to East-West Expressway in Ponce. Adverse effects include: displacement of residences, farms, and commercial structures; commitment of natural and economic resources; and long-term increase in noise levels.
(ELR ORDER # 51701)

State Route 61, Oliver Springs Bypass | 11/24

Tennessee
County: Anderson Roane
This statement is the revision of a draft EIS sent to CEQ on June 15, 1971. The proposed project is a relocation of SR 61 around the northern portion of Oliver Springs, Tennessee. This project traverses a distance of approximately 2.2 miles starting on the southwest side of Oliver

Springs and extending to existing SR 61, southeast of Oliver Springs. Adverse impacts include the displacement of residences and businesses, increase in noise and air pollution, and unavoidable soil erosion and temporary siltation of water courses during construction.
(ELR ORDER # 51715)

Metropolitan Highway 50, Port Worth 11/03

Texas
County: Tarrant
The proposed action is the Metropolitan Highway 50 Special Urban High Density Traffic Project, a limited access Freeway Spur in the City of Port Worth with three lanes in each direction and a length of approximately 1.3 miles. Negative effects include the displacement of 73 families and 18 businesses.
(ELR ORDER # 51605)

Brownsville-Matamoros Railroad Relocation 11/10

Texas
Proposed is a railroad relocation demonstration project in Brownsville, Texas and Matamoros, Mexico. The project seeks to eliminate existing railroad/community conflicts and to improve the transporation network of the area through rail system improvements. The number of people and businesses displaced would depend upon the alternative chosen. The project would also result in increased noise and pollution in the area of construction and considerable financial expenditure.
(ELR ORDER # 51628)

Texas State Highway 35, Proposed Relocation 11/26

Texas
County: Harris Brazoria
Proposed is the relocation of Texas State Highway 35 from Almeda-Genoa Road near proposed South Beltway 8 in Harris County to existing State Highway 35 (Alvin Loop) at Spur 409 in Brazoria County and is a continuation of the development of the proposed facility from Interstate Highway 45 at Calhoun Road to the City of Alvin. Two alternate routes are being considered for the project, both routes necessitating displacement of families and businesses. The selection of either route will have a major impact on the land use and development patterns of the area involved.
(ELR ORDER # 51725)

US 60 Bridge Over Big Sandy River 11/26

West Virginia Kentucky
The proposed highway improvement is the replacement of the US 60 Bridge crossing the Big Sandy River between

Catlettsburg, Kentucky and Kenova, West Virginia, and constructing necessary approaches. The only adverse, permanent effect is the relocation of residences and businesses in Catlettsburg and Kenova. Alternativ locations 2 and 3 would require right-of-way acquisition from Dreamland Park. A 4(f) statement is included.
(ELR ORDER # 51724)

Final — Date

SR 10A, Pensacola (Old Spanish Trail) — 11/03

Florida
County: Escambia
Proposed is the new construction of a 5-lane curb and gutter municipal section along an alignment in Pensacola for approximately 4.3 miles. The general alignment of this proposal flows through a system of unpaved roads known as the Old Spanish Trail, and will require about 55 acres of land. Adverse impacts include water pollution within nearby Escambia Bay, removal of land from a natural state and promotion of development, and the expansion of urbanism in the Pensacola area.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW DOI EPA DOT
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51602)

Widening of DeRenne Avenue, Savannah, Georgia — 11/17

Georgia
County: Chatham
This project consists of widening from two to four or five lanes of approximately two miles of DeRenne Avenue between Abercorn Street and Skidaway Road in Savannah. Adverse impacts include increased noise levels, increased carbon monoxide levels, loss of the buffer zone of trees along DeRenne Avenue, and erosion and siltation during construction.

COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOI HEW
local commissions
(ELR ORDER # 51668)

I-475, Genesee County — 11/10

Michigan
County: Genesee
The statement refers to the proposed construction of I-475 in the city of Flint and Genessee County, and for reconstruction of Pierson Road between Dart Highway and west of Selby Road. Adverse impacts include disruption of established urban land use and transportation patterns, and displacement of 25 families and 2 businesses. A 4(f) determination is included for Dayton Park, Kearsley Park,

St. John Street Park, West Boulevard Drive Park, and the Flint River Dam Area.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOI COE USDA EPA DOC DOT
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51631)

US 61 11/12

Minnesota
County: Winona
The proposed project is the upgrade of 1.81 miles (1.0 mile on a new location) of U.S. 61 from a two-lane highway to a four lane expressway. Adverse impacts include the following: acquisition of buildings and displacement of twenty-two families; a 1200 foot channel change; exposure of a 1600 foot long rock face with potential for erosion and undesirable aesthetics; loss of about four acres of wooded watershed area and wildlife habitat.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOI COE USDA EPA DOC
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51655)

US 77, Dakota County (Supplement) 11/10

Nebraska
County: Dakota
The statement is a supplement to a final eis filed with CEQ 1 February 1973. The plan includes design revisions that will eliminate the separations and interchanges between the US 77 crossing and the full cloverleaf I-129 interchange. A reduction in right-of-way, embankment material required for fills, borrow required, and project cost will occur as a result of the revisions. The project will displace 3 houses and one farmstead.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT USAF COE USDA HUD EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51644)

Railroad Relocation Demonstration Project 11/17

Nevada
County: Elko
Proposed is the relocation and consolidation of 5.4 miles of main line Southern Pacific and Western Pacific track from the downtown area of Elko, Nevada. This demonstration railway-road grade crossing elimination project will require approximately 46 acres of land, displacing 115 living units and 13 commercial units.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOC USA USDA DOT HEW AHP
state and local agencies
and private groups
(ELR ORDER # 51674)

US 77, Shields Boulevard, Oklahoma City 11/24

Oklahoma
County: Oklahoma
Proposed is the development of the Shields Boulevard extension, a primary arterial, beginning at S.E. 25th Street and extending 1.6 miles northerly to S.W. 3rd Street in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Adverse impacts include the displacement of 13 families and 15 businesses.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI HUD COE HEW DOT
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51708)

I-640 11/24

Tennessee
County: Knox
The project involves the construction of a six-lane divided highway built on a new location around the western portion of Knoxville between I-40 and I-75. The length of the project is approximately 2.8 miles. The primary adverse environmental effects are the displacement of 22 families and 4 businesses, and the introduction of a source of noise and air pollution into areas relatively free from these sources of pollution. (43 pages)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOI ARC USDA DOT TVA HEW EPA AHP
state and local agencies
and officials
(ELR ORDER # 51710)

Loop 335 from I-40 to US 87 and US 287, Potter Co. 11/10

Texas
County: Potter
The proposed project is the construction in Potter County of that portion of Loop Highway 335, a multi-lane road, around Amarillo from I-40 east of Amarillo, north and west to US 87 and 287. Adverse environmental impacts include the utilization of land and natural and human resources to to construct the roadway, the displacement of 6 businesses and 40 families, and an increase in urbanization, noise and air pollution levels along the route.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT HEW DOC USDA DOI EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51642)

S.T.H. 27 and 77, Sawyer County 11/12

Wisconsin
County: Sawyer
The statement discusses the improvement of STH 27-77 in northwestern Sawyer County. The proposed project is a relocation of the highway around the city of Hayward business district which will require about 2.2 miles of new highway construction. Adverse impacts are the acquisi-

tion of land for right-of-way, displacement of 1 family, and increased air and noise pollution.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51654)

STP 93, La Crosse Co., Wisconsin 11/17

Wisconsin
County: La Crosse
Proposed is the construction of 10.5 miles of four-lane divided freeway on a new location in La Crosse County, Wisconsin. The facility begins at Interstate Hwy. 90 at the present State Trunk Hwy. 157 interchange and proceeds northerly, bypassing the City of Onalaska and the Village of Holmen. Project implementation would require the acquisition of 475 acres and the displacement of two families.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HUD HEW USDA EPA, state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51665)

Urban Mass Transportation Administration

Draft Date

Bicentennial Transportation Program 11/10

District of Co
The statement concerns federal assistance for a two part Bicentennial Transportation Program. The program consists of a fringe parking lot/bus shuttle from RFK Stadium, North Parking Lot of the Pentagon, and Ft. Myer South Post to the Mall; and special radial bus service along 17 existing bus corridors from outlying areas to downtown. The program will be in effect from March to October 1976. Short term pollution in Fringe parking areas will result, and construction of the 4,000 car parking lot at Ft. Myer South Post will cause temporary negative effects.
(ELR ORDER # 51477)

U.S.Coast Guard

Final Date

Coast Guard Station, Provincetown, Mass. 11/26

Massachusetts
The proposed action provides for construction of a new Coast Guard Station in Provincetown, Massachusetts to meet search and rescue and other operational commitments in the Outer Cape Cod area. Construction disruption will result.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC USDA EPA DOI
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51721)

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Contact: (For Medical Facilities)
Mr. Arthur W. Farmer
Assistant Chief, Medical Director for
Administration and Facilities
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20420
(For Housing)
Mr. R.C. Coon
Director, Loan Guarantee Service
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20420
(202) 389-2332

Final	Date
Clinical Support Facility, Boise, Idaho	11/11

Idaho
County: Ada
Proposed is the construction of a new clinical support facility, and the installation of seismic corrections at various buildings at the VA Hospital in Boise. There will be some construction disruptions.
COMMENTS MADE BY: ARP USDA USA HEW HUD DOI EPA GSA
state and local agencies
(FLR ORDER # 51647)

Summary of 102 Statements Filed with the CEQ Through

11/31/75 (By Agency)

Agency	Draft 102's for actions on which no final 102's have yet been received	Final 102's on legislation and actions	Total actions on which draft or final statements have been received
Agriculture, Department of	188	589	777
Appalachian Regional Commission	1	0	1
Architect of the Capitol	0	1	1
Atomic Energy Commission	42	144	186
Canal Zone Government	0	1	1
Civil Aeronautice Board	0	1	1
Commerce, Department of	19	41	60
Defense, Department of	8	7	15
Air Force	11	18	29
Army	3	20	23
Army Corps of Engineers	617	835	1452
Navy	12	33	45
Delaware River Basin Commission	2	8	10
Energy Policy Office	0	1	1
Energy Research & Development Admin.	4	2	6
Environmental Protection Agency	22	68	90
Federal Energy Administration	4	1	5
Federal Maritime Commission	2	0	2
Federal Power Commission	98	42	140
General Services Administration	21	90	111
HEW, Department of	5	13	18
HUD, Department of	53	126	179
Interior, Department of	166	311	477
International Boundary and Water Commission -- U.S. and Mexico	1	8	9
Interstate Commerce Commission	9	5	14
Justice, Department of	2	3	5
Labor, Department of	5	2	7
National Aeronautics and Space Adm.	9	22	31
National Capital Planning Comm.	5	8	13
National Science Foundation	1	6	7
New England River Basins Commission	2	0	2
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	7	18	25
Office of Science and Technology	0	1	1
Ohio River Basin Commission	1	0	1
Pacific Northwest River Basins Comm.	3	0	3
Pennsylvania Ave. Devel. Corp.	0	1	1
Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comm.	1	0	1
State Department	3	5	8
Tennessee Valley Authority	9	24	33
Transportation, Department of	995	2035	3030
Treasury, Department of	4	7	11
Upper Mississippi River Basin Comm.	1	0	1
U.S. Postal Service	3	0	3
U.S. Water Resources Council	6	9	15
Veterans Administration	1	8	9
TOTALS	2346	4514	6860

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

AVAILABILITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY COMMENTS ON ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS AND OTHER ACTIONS IMPACTING THE ENVIRONMENT

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented in writing on Federal agency actions impacting the environment contained in the following appendicies during the period of November 1, 1975 and November 30, 1975.

Appendix I contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The list includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments as defined in Appendix II, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix II contains the definitions of the classifications of EPA's comments on the draft environmental impact statements as set forth in Appendix I.

Appendix III contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix IV contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed but not commented upon by EPA during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, and the source of the EPA review as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix V contains a listing of proposed Federal agency regulations, legislation proposed by Federal agencies, and any other proposed actions reviewed and commented upon in writing pursuant to section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, during the referenced reviewing period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the proposed action, the title of the action, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix VI contains a listing of the names and addresses of the sources of EPA reviews and comments listed in Appendices I, III, IV and V.

Copies of the EPA Manual setting forth the policies and procedures for EPA's review of agency actions may be obtained by writing the Public Information Reference Unit, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 2922, Waterside Mall SW, Washington, DC 20460, telephone AC 202/755-2808. Copies of the draft and final environmental impact statements referenced herein are available from the originating Federal department or agency.

Rebecca W. Hanmer

Rebecca W. Hanmer
Acting Director
Office of Federal Activities

Dated: DEC 5 1975

APPENDIX I

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH
COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN
NOVEMBER 1, 1975 AND NOVEMBER 30, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
D-AFS-J65027-MT:	WEST KOOTENAI PLANNING UNIT, KOOTENAI NATIONAL FOREST, LINCOLN COUNTY, MONTANA	ER-2	I
D-AFS-J65029-00:	WEST SLOPE OF THE TETONS, LAND USE PLAN, TARGHEE NATIONAL FOREST, TETON AND FREEMONT, COUNTIES, IDAHO AND WYOMING	ER-2	I
D-AFS-J65030-MT:	O'BRIEN-SEVENTEENMILE-CROSS MOUNTAIN MULTIPLE USE PLAN, KOOTENAI NATIONAL FOREST, LINCOLN COUNTY, MONTANA	LO-2	I
D-AFS-K40029-AZ:	SWIFT TRAIL FOREST HIGHWAY 34, AZ-34, CORONADO NATIONAL FOREST, GRAHAM COUNTY, ARIZONA	ER-2	J
D-AFS-L61041-OR:	LAND USE PLAN AND TIMBER MANAGEMENT OF THE WILLAMETTE NATIONAL FOREST, OREGON	3	K
D-AFS-L61045-ID:	WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS, ST. JOE RIVER, ST. JOE NATIONAL FOREST, ST. MARIES, IDAHO	LO-1	K
D-AFS-L61049-WA:	EAST DEER CREEK PLANNING UNIT AND LAND USE PLAN, COLVILLE NATIONAL FOREST, WASHINGTON	ER-1	K
D-AFS-L65014-00:	VEGETATION MANAGEMENT WITH HERBICIDES, NORTHWEST PACIFIC REGION, OREGON, WASHINGTON, AND CALIFORNIA	LO-1	K
D-DOA-E82004-00:	TRAIL BOLL WEEVIL ERADICATION PROGRAM, VIRGINIA, NORTH CAROLINA AND SOUTH CAROLINA	ER-2	E
D-SCS-C36018-NY:	FLINT CREEK WATERSHED, ONTARIO, STEUBEN, AND YATES COUNTIES, NEW YORK	ER-2	C
D-SCS-E36030-MS:	DEER CREEK WATERSHED, BOLIVAR AND WASHINGTON COUNTIES, MISSISSIPPI	LO-2	E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-SCS-E36031-SC:	SOUTH TYGER RIVER WATERSHED, GREENVILLE COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-SCS-F36030-IN:	LOST RIVER WATERSHED, DUBOIS, LAWRENCE, MARTIN, ORANGE AND WASHINGTON COUNTIES, INDIANA	ER-2	F
D-SCS-K34002-AZ:	BUCKHORN-MESA WATERSHED, MARICOPA AND PINAL COUNTIES, ARIZONA	ER-2	J
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
D-COE-E35013-SC:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING CHARLESTON HARBOR, ASHLEY RIVER, DREDGING OF COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON AND BERKELEY COUNTIES, SOUTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-COE-E35014-SC:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, LITTLE RIVER TO PORT ROYAL SOUND, SOUTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-COE-E35015-00:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF THE GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, PEARL RIVER TO APALACHEE BAY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, AND FLORIDA	ER-2	E
D-COE-E61015-00:	BIG SOUTH FORK NATIONAL RIVER AND RECREATION AREA, TENNESSEE AND KENTUCKY	LO-2	E
D-COE-F32030-OH:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, VERMILLION HARBOR, ERIE COUNTY, OHIO	LO-2	F
D-COE-F36027-MN:	FLOOD CONTROL ROSEAU RIVER, ROSEAU AND KITTSON COUNTIES, MINNESOTA	ER-2	F
D-COE-F36028-MN:	DULUTH STORMWATER FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, DULUTH, MINESOTA	LO-2	F
D-COE-F36029-MN:	BASSETT CREEK WATERSHED, HENNEPIN COUNTY, MINNESOTA	ER-2	F
DS-COE-G32022-LA:	MISSISSIPPI RIVER, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LOUISIANA	ER-2	G
D-COE-G34016-TX:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WHITNEY LAKE, WACO LAKE, PROCTOR LAKE, STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM AND LAKE, AND SOMERVILLE LAKE, BRAZOS RIVER BASIN, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-COE-G36032-AR:	ARKANSAS RIVER IN THE VICINITY OF NORTH LITTLE ROCK, PULASKI COUNTY, ARKANSAS	LO-1	G

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-COE-G39002-00:	RED RIVER CHLORIDE CONTROL WATER QUALITY STUDY, RED RIVER, TEXAS AND OKLAHOMA	LO-2	G
D-COE-H36020-KS:	GREAT BEND LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, GREAT BEND, KANSAS	ER-2	H
D-COE-K32005-CA:	FISHERMAN'S WHARF AREA, SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	J
D-COE-K34002-CA:	SAN LEANDRO MARINA, ALAMEDA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	LO-1	J
D-COE-K36012-AZ:	NEW RIVER AND PHOENIX CITY STREAMS, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA	LO-2	J
D-COE-K36014-CA:	FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, SANTA ANA RIVER, MAIN STEM AND SANTIAGO CREEK, ORANGE, RIVERSIDE, AND SAN BERNARDINO COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA	3	K
D-COE-L05003-AK:	HYDROELECTRIC POWER DEVELOPMENT, UPPER SUSITNA RIVER BASIN, SOUTHCENTRAL RAILBELT AREA, ALASKA	ER-2	K
DS-COE-L05004-00:	SECOND POWERHOUSE, BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, COLUMBIA RIVER, OREGON AND WASHINGTON	ER-2	K
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE			
D-USA-D81004-VA:	FORT BELVOIR FAMILY HOUSING PROJECT, FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA	ER-2	D
D-USA-E11003-MS:	MISSISSIPPI ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT, HANCOCK COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI	ER-2	E
D-USA-J20003-UT:	DISPOSAL OF TOXIC RESIDUE, WEST GRANITE DISPOSAL AREA, DUGWAY PROVING GROUND, UTAH	LO-2	I
D-USN-K11007-CA:	PROPOSED RESTRICTIVE USE EASEMENT ACQUISITION/AICUZ, NAVAL AIR STATION MIRAMAR, SAN DIEGO, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	3	J
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION			
D-FPC-A03054-00:	EAST TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS COMPANY, CURTAILMENT PLAN, DOCKET NO. RP75-28	3	A
D-FPC-A03055-00:	ALGONQUIN GAS TRANSMISSION COMPANY, CURTAILMENT PLAN, DOCKET NO. RP71-131	3	A

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-FPC-A03057-00:	TRUNKLINE GAS COMPANY, CURTAILMENT PLAN, DOCKET NO. RP71-100	3	A
D-FPC-A03059-00:	TEXAS GAS TRANSMISSION CORPORATION, CURTAILMENT PLAN, DOCKET NO. RP72-64	3	A
D-FPC-A03061-00:	TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPELINE CORPORATION, DOCKET NO. RP72-99, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	3	A
D-FPC-C03003-00:	CONSOLIDATED GAS SUPPLY COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP73-115	3	A
D-FPC-D03001-00:	COLUMBIA GAS TRANSMISSION CORPORATION, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	3	A
D-FPC-E09002-00:	SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP74-6, ANALYSIS OF TWO PERMANENT CURTAILMENT PLANS	3	A
D-FPC-E09003-00:	UNTIED GAS PIPELINE COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP71-29-120, CURTAILMENT PLANS	3	A
D-FPC-E09004-00:	TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORPORATION DOCKET NO. RP71-130, GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	3	A
D-FPC-F03003-00:	PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPELINE COMPANY, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	3	A
D-FPC-G03002-00:	ARKANSAS LOUISIANA GAS COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP71-122, CURTAILMENT	3	A
D-FPC-H03000-00:	CITIES SERVICE NATURAL GAS COMPANY, CURTAILMENT PLAN, DOCKET NO. RP75-62	3	A
D-FPC-H03001-00:	MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRANSMISSION CORPORATION, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN, DOCKET NO. RP73-6	3	A
D-FPC-K03002-00:	EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP72-6, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	3	A
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION			
D-GSA-J81003-UT:	CONSTRUCTION OF BUREAU OF MINES METALLURGY RESEARCH, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH	LO-2	I
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
D-HUD-B89005-MA:	SECOND WATERFRONT URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT, ESSEX COUNTY, GLOUCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS	ER-2	B

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-HUD-E24001-GA:	SANITARY SEWER TRUNK LINE, DOUGLAS, COFFEE COUNTY, GEORGIA	ER-2	E
D-HUD-E28003-NC:	GREAT ALAMANCE CREEK WATER SUPPLY PROJECT (CDBG), BURLINGTON, NORTH CAROLINA	ER-2	E
D-HUD-E28004-AL:	PULASKI PIKE WATER SYSTEM, HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA	LO-2	E
D-HUD-E28005-TN:	PHASE II, WATERLINE EXTENSION, (CDBG), MARSHALL COUNTY, TENNESSEE	LO-1	E
DS-HUD-G85005-TX:	WILLIAMSON CREEK SEWER TRUNK, TEMPLE, TEXAS	LO-1	G
INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION			
D-ICC-J53000-00:	CHICAGO AND NORTHWESTERN RAILROAD ABANDONMENT, WREN, IOWA TO IROQUOIS, SOUTH DAKOTA	LO-2	I
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR			
D-BLM-J07001-UT:	KAIPAROWITS POWER PROJECT, KAIPAROWITS PLATEAU, UTAH	ER-2	I
D-BOR-D61002-WV:	NEW RIVER GORGE, NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVER, WEST VIRGINIA	LO-1	D
D-BOR-H39000-MO:	GASCONADE WILD AND SCENIC RIVER STUDY, MISSOURI	LO-2	H
D-BOR-L61050-ID:	PROPOSED BRUNEAU WILD AND SCENIC RIVER, IDAHO	LO-1	K
RD-DOI-A01031-00:	43 CFR PARTS 23 AND 3041, PROPOSED SURFACE MANAGEMENT OF FEDERALLY OWNED COAL RESOURCES, AND 30 CFR PART 211, COAL MINING OPERATIONS	EU-2	A
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
D-FAA-L81004-OR:	ESTABLISHMENT OF AN AIR ROUTE SURVEILLANCE RADAR FACILITY, EUGENE, OREGON	ER-2	K
DS-FHW-A54023-MD:	RELOCATED US 140, NORTHWEST EXPRESSWAY, BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND	LO-2	D
D-FHW-D40023-PA:	LR 07076, SEVENTEENTH STREET, 17TH STREET, ALTOONA, PENNSYLVANIA	LO-2	D
DS-FHW-D40027-MD:	MD-193, AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS, PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MARYLAND	LO-2	D

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-FHW-E40060-00:	COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY NORTH BYPASS FROM GA-87/US 27 TO US 280, MUSCOGEE COUNTY, GEORGIA AND LEE AND RUSSELL COUNTIES, ALABAMA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40061-KY:	POPLAR LEVEL ROAD, KY-864, LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY	LO-1	E
D-FHW-E40063-GA:	SOUTH CANTON BYPASS, CHEROKEE COUNTY, GEORGIA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-F40038-IL:	I-255, ST. LOUIS, COLUMBIA TO COLLINSVILLE, MONROE, ST. CLAIR, MADISON COUNTIES, ILLINOIS	ER-2	F
D-FHW-F40039-WI:	US 61 AND US 151, WI-35, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, DICKEYVILLE ROAD, GRANT COUNTY, WISCONSIN	LO-2	F
D-FHW-G40036-TX:	BELTWAY 8, I-45 SOUTH GULF FREEWAY TO US 59 SOUTHWEST FREEWAY, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	EU-2	G
D-FHW-G40038-TX:	BELTWAY 8, SECTION 5, US 59 NORTH TO I-10 EAST, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	ER-2	G
D-FHW-G40039-NM:	US 1133(6), UNIVERSITY AVENUE, LAS CRUCES, DONA ANA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	LO-2	G
D-FHW-G40040-TX:	LOOP 151, US 82 TO US 59, BOWIE COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-FHW-G40041-TX:	LOOP 201, MCKINNEY ROAD TO PERRY ROAD, BAYTON, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	ER-2	G
D-FHW-H40033-00:	MO-36, ST. JOSEPH AND MISSOURI RIVER, BUCHANAN COUNTY, MISSOURI AND DONIPHAN COUNTY, KANSAS	3	H
D-FHW-H40034-NB:	NB-133, 90TH STREET, OMAHA NORTH FREEWAY, DOUBLAS COUNTY, NEBRASKA	ER-2	H
D-FHW-H40035-KS:	KS-177 AND KS-18 AT MANHATTAN, RIPLEY COUNTY, KANSAS	LO-2	H
D-FHW-H40036-NB:	US 136, BROWNVILLE BRIDGE WEST, NEMAHA COUNTY, NEBRASKA	ER-2	H
D-FHW-K40025-HI:	HILO BAYFRONT HIGHWAY, WAILOA RIVER TO SILVA AND KALANIANAOLE STREET, HILO, HAWAII	ER-2	J
D-FHW-K40030-NV:	WEST LEG, US 95, LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	ER-2	J
D-FHW-L40026-ID:	ID-50, IDAHO FOREST HIGHWAY ROUTE 50, ST. JOE RIVER ROAD, BENEWAH AND SHOSHONE COUNTIES, IDAHO	LO-1	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-FHW-L40027-ID:	I-15W RAFT RIVER-ROCKLAND JUNCTION, CASSIA AND POWER COUNTIES, IDAHO	LO-1	K
D-FRA-A53038-00:	PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE REGIONAL RAIL REORGANIZATION ACT OF 1973	LO-1	A
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION			
D-ERD-A00113-00:	LIGHT WATER BREEDER REACTOR (LWBR) PROGRAM	LO-2	

APPENDIX II

DEFINITIONS OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE
OF EPA COMMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ACTION

LO--Lack of Objection

EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER--Environmental Reservations

EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU-Environmentally Unsatisfactory

EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the Agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The Agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

ADEQUACY OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

Category 1--Adequate

The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action.

Category 2--Insufficient Information

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or

action. However, from the information submitted, the Agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3--Inadequate

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The Agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

APPENDIX III

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH
COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN
NOVEMBER 1, 1975 AND NOVEMBER 30, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
F-SCS-F36018-MI:	ROUGE RIVER WATERSHED, NEWAYGO, KENT, MONTCALM, MUSKEGON AND OTTAWA COUNTIES, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-SCS-K36007-CA:	LOWER PINE CREEK WATERSHED PROJECT, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
F-COE-A30048-GU:	AGANA SMALL BOAT HARBOR, AGANA, GUAM	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
F-COE-A32430-FL:	SAINT JOSEPH BAY, MAINTENANCE DREDGING, GULF COUNTY, FLORIDA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA FEELS SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SHOULD BE PROVIDED ON THE ELIMINATION AND RELOCATION OF THE SPECIFIC BEACH DISPOSAL SITES.	E
F-COE-A34083-GA:	BUFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER, GEORGIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	E
F-COE-A34103-MS:	WATER PROJECTS, OKATIBBEE DAM AND LAKE, OKATIBBEE CREEK, MISSISSIPPI	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA REQUESTED AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW FUTURE INFORMATION RELATING TO AIR QUALITY.	E
F-COE-A35094-WI:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING AND DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL, PORT WASHINGTON, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA BELIEVES EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHOULD BE IMPLEMENTED ALONG SAUK CREEK TO DECREASE SEDIMENT LOADS ENTERING THE HARBOR.	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-COE-A35120-CA:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING SANTA CRUZ HARBOR, SANTA CRUZ COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
F-COE-A35133-CA:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF MOSS LANDING HARBOR, MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
F-COE-A35137-00:	BLUE RIVER BASIN PROJECTS, MISSOURI AND KANSAS	EPA DETERMINED THE FINAL EIS TO BE UNRESPONSIVE TO TWO MAJOR CONCERNS RAISED BY EPA COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS. THEREFORE EPA REQUESTED THE CORPS TO RESPOND PUBLICLY ON OUR REQUEST FOR RESERVOIR POOL PROBABILITIES AND THE PROMOTION OF FUTURE FLOOD PLAIN DEVELOPMENT.	H
F-COE-E35003-GA:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GEORGIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	E
F-COE-F32009-MI:	HOLLAND HARBOR, DREDGE DISPOSAL FACILITY, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA REQUESTED THE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE EFFLUENT MONITORING PROGRAM WHEN COMPLETED.	F
F-COE-F35002-WI:	KEWAUNEE HARBOR, MAINTENANCE DREDGING AND CONTAINED DREDGE DISPOSAL, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-F35003-IL:	WAUKEGAN HARBOR, MAINTENANCE DREDGING AND DISPOSAL AREA, LAKE COUNTY, ILLINOIS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA FEELS ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO THE POTENTIAL EROSION IMPACTS AND THE PROJECT SHOULD INCORPORATE A MITIGATION AND CONTINGENCY PLAN TO PREVENT AND MONITOR ADVERSE DRIFTS EFFECTS DOWNSHORE.	F
F-COE-K36006-HI:	IAO STREAM FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, MAUI, HAWAII	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION			
F-GSA-D81003-VA:	PROPOSED FEDERAL BUILDING AND PARKING FACILITY, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
F-HUD-C36017-NY:	NAURAUSHAUN BROOK IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, ROCKLAND COUNTY, NEW YORK	EPA'S REVIEW OF THE FINAL EIS INDICATED THE STATEMENT TO BE UNRESPONSIVE TO EPA'S COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS. THEREFORE, EPA IS UNABLE TO ACCESS THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AS THE RESULT OF THE INADEQUATELY PREPARED FINAL EIS.	C
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR			
F-IGS-J01001-WY:	BELLE AYR SOUTH MINE AMAX COAL COMPANY, CAMPBELL COUNTY, WYOMING	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA HAS REQUESTED A MEETING WITH THE US GEOLOGICAL SURVEY PRIOR TO FINAL APPROVAL OF THE MINING PLAN BY THE AREA SUPERVISOR.	I
F-NPS-K61007-HI:	MASTER PLAN, CITY OF REFUGE NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK, HAWAII	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
F-SFW-K61006-NV:	PROPOSED DESERT WILDERNESS AREA, DESERT NATIONAL WILDLIFE RANGE, CLARK AND LINCOLN COUNTIES, NEVADA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
FS-FAA-A51160-AL:	BIRMINGHAM MUNICIPAL AIRPORT, BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA FEELS MORE CONSIDERATION SHOULD HAVE BEEN GIVEN TO NOISE ABATEMENT.	E
F-FHW-A41845-MN:	I-90, FARIBAULT COUNTY, MINNESOTA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA IS STILL CONCERNED ABOUT THE PROJECT'S WETLAND IMPACTS. THE PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES SHOULD MINIMIZE LONG-TERM WETLAND IMPACTS PROVIDED MANAGEMENT PRACTICES DO IMPROVE WELTAND HABITAT AND PRODUCTIVITY, AND A WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROPOSED IMPOUNDMENT ARE EFFECTIVELY IMPLEMENTED.	F

APPENDIX IV

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS WHICH
WERE REVIEWED AND NOT COMMENTED ON BETWEEN
NOVEMBER 1, 1975 AND NOVEMBER 30, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE		
F-AFS-L61006-OR:	TIMBERLINE LODGE MANAGEMENT DIRECTION, MT. HOOD NATIONAL FOREST, OREGON	K
F-AFS-L61031-ID:	BLACK PINE PLANNING UNIT, LAND USE PLAN, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L61037-ID:	PROPOSED LAND USE PLAN, SQUAW CREEK PLANNING UNIT, BOISE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L61040-ID:	PROPOSED LAND USE PLAN, MIDDLE FORK BOISE RIVER PLANNING UNIT, BOISE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	K
F-SCS-B36004-CT:	YANTIC RIVER WATERSHED, NEW LONDON AND TOLLAND COUNTIES, CONNECTICUT	B
F-SCS-E36017-MS:	MANTACHIE, BOGUE FALA, AND BOGUE EUCUBA CREEKS WATERSHED, ITAWAMBA, LEE, AND MONROE COUNTIES, MISSISSIPPI	E
F-SCS-E36023-SC:	UPPER NEW RIVER WATERSHED, JASPER AND BEAUFORT COUNTIES, SOUTH CAROLINA	E
F-SCS-G36017-TX:	ELM CREEK WATERSHED PROJECT, BELL, MCLENNAN, FALLS, AND MILAM COUNTIES, TEXAS	G
F-SCS-H36005-NB:	BLACKWOOD CREEK WATERSHED, HAYES, HITCHCOCK AND RED WILLOW COUNTIES, NEBRASKA	H
F-SCS-H36010-00:	LITTLE BLACK WATERSHEDS, BUTLER, CARTER AND RIPLEY COUNTIES, MISSOURI AND ARKANSAS	H
CORPS OF ENGINEERS		
F-COE-G32005-TX:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, GALVESTON COUNTY, TEXAS	G

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
F-COE-G32012-TX:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, FREEPORT HARBOR, BRAZORIA COUNTY, TEXAS	G
F-COE-G34005-TX:	CEDAR BAYOU CHANNEL, CHAMBER AND HARRIS COUNTIES, TEXAS	G
F-COE-L36011-00:	COLUMBIA AND LOWER WILLAMETTE RIVER, VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON AND PORTLAND, OREGON	K
F-COE-L39001-OR:	HAMMOND SMALL BOAT BASIN, CLATSOP COUNTY, OREGON	K
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION		
F-GSA-G81001-TX:	LEASE FACILITY FOR DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS	G
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT		
F-HUD-G85003-TX:	"THE WOODS" SUBDIVISION, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS	G
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR		
F-DOI-A61047-NM:	PROPOSED MASTER PLAN, WHITE SAND NATIONAL MONUMENT, NEW MEXICO	G
F-SFW-A61122-MO:	PROPOSED MINGO WILDERNESS AREA, MINGO NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, WAYNE AND STODDARD COUNTIES, MISSOURI	H
F-SFW-A61250-VA:	PROPOSED BACK BAY WILDERNESS AREA, VIRGINIA	D
F-SFW-A64032-KY:	WOLF CREEK NATIONAL FISH HATCHERY, RUSSELL COUNTY, KENTUCKY	E
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		
F-AEC-A06141-FL:	FLOATING NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS, OFFSHORE POWER SYSTEMS, DOCKET NO. STN 50-437, BLOUNT ISLAND, JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA	E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		
F-DOT-A41250-NB:	US 50 BYPASS, NORTH PLATTE, LINCOLN COUNTY, NEBRASKA	H
FS-DOT-A41323-NB:	US 77 EXPRESSWAY, DAKOTA COUNTY, NEBRASKA	H
F-DOT-A41422-IA:	IA-1, JOHNSON COUNTY, IOWA	H
F-FHW-A42263-IA:	US 67, RIVERDALE TO I-80, SCOTT COUNTY, IOWA	H
F-FHW-A42275-MO:	MO-50, COLE COUNTY, MISSOURI	H
F-FHW-G40015-OK:	US 77, SHIELDS BOULEVARD, OKLAHOMA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA	G
F-FHW-G40031-TX:	LOOP 335 FROM I-40 TO US 87 AND US 287, POTTER COUNTY, TEXAS	G
F-FHW-H40013-KS:	US 56, MARION COUNTY, KANSAS	H
F-FHW-J40003-CO:	I-70 DEBEQUE-GRAND VALLEY, MESA AND GARFIELD COUNTIES, COLORADO	I
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION		
F-VAD-H81000-IA:	PARKING FACILITY, VETERANS ADMINISTRATION HOSPITAL, IOWA CITY, IOWA	H
F-VAD-L81002-ID:	NEW CLINICAL SUPPORT FACILITY AND SEISMIC CORRECTIONS FOR VARIOUS BUILDINGS, VETERANS ADMINISTRATION HOSPITAL, BOISE, IDAHO	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-FHW-A41904-TN:	TN-6, NORTH OF COLUMBIA, MAURY COUNTY, TENNESSEE	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	E
F-FHW-A42186-WI:	WI-21, WEST COUNTY LINE TO WISCONSIN RIVER, JUNEAU COUNTY, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-FHW-A42235-MI:	US 31, MASON OCEANA COUNTY LINE TO US 10/31, MASON COUNTY, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA FEELS ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO THE EFFECTS OF THE PROJECT UPON WATER QUALITY, WETLANDS AND WOODLANDS.	F
F-FHW-A42406-VA:	I-95 AND I-295, RICHMOND CIRCUMFERENTIAL, I-64 TO VA-5, HENRICO, CHESTERFIELD, AND HANOVER COUNTIES, VIRGINIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D
FS-UMT-A54014-GA:	METROPOLITAN ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT SYSTEM, STATION CHANGES, VINE CITY, TECHWOOD, TUCKER-NORTH DEKALB CORRIDOR, CANDLER PARK AND EAST LAKE STATIONS, GEORGIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	E
RF-CGD-A90006-00:	DEEPWATER PORT REGULATIONS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE REGULATIONS AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA COMMENTED ON THE AFFECTS OF THE REGULATIONS ON LAND AND WATER USE PLANS AND COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS. ADDITIONALLY, EPA QUESTIONED TWO COAST GUARD RESPONSES TO COMMENTERS.	A

APPENDIX V

REGULATIONS, LEGISLATION AND OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN NOVEMBER 1, 1975 AND NOVEMBER 30, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
A-UMT-A54035-00:	NOTICES, MAJOR URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION INVESTMENTS, DOCKET 75-04, NOTICE OF PROPOSED POLICY	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. HOWEVER, EPA SUGGESTED SEVERAL REVISIONS TO ASSURE THAT ALL RELEVANT FACTORS ARE CONSIDERED IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS OF TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES WHICH MUST TAKE PLACE AS A CONDITION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR FEDERAL ASSISTANCE FOR ANY MAJOR MASS TRANSPORTATION INVESTMENT.	A
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR			
R-DOI-A01031-00:	40 CFR PART 3041, SURFACE MANAGEMENT OF FEDERALLY OWNED COAL RESOURCES, AND 30 CFR PART 211, COAL MINING OPERATING REGULATIONS	EPA FOUND THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS TO BE ENVIRONMENTALLY UNSATISFACTORY FROM THE STANDPOINT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ON THE GROUNDS THAT THE RULES INVOLVE A HIGH PROBABILITY FOR VIOLATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY STANDARDS (PARTICULARLY STATE STANDARDS) AND THAT THERE IS A POTENTIAL FOR SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL DEGRADATION WHICH COULD BE AVOIDED BY FEASIBLE ALTERNATIVES. EPA'S MAJOR CONCERNS INCLUDED EXCESSIVE LATITUDE RESULTING FROM THE USE OF THEIR TERM "MAXIMUM EXTENT PRACTICABLE;" ENFORCEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS UNDER THE FEDERAL WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ACT AND CLEAN AIR ACT; ENFORCEMENT OF APPLICABLE STATE AIR AND WATER QUALITY STANDARDS ON FEDERAL LANDS; THE LACK OF	A

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
		PROVISIONS FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN LEASE TRACT SELECTION AND MINING PLAN APPROVALS; AND THE ABSENCE OF EXPLICIT ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATING LANDS AS UNSUITABLE FOR MINING. EPA SUBMITTED A MAJOR REVISION OF THE REGULATIONS FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR IN PREPARATION OF THE FINAL RULEMAKING.	
A-DOI-A39118-00:	WATER RESOURCES USE AND MANAGEMENT POLICY STATEMENT	EPA RESTATED ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS CONCERNING A THE LACK OF SPECIFICITY OF THE PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE POLICY STATEMENT.	

APPENDIX VI

SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

A. Public Information Reference Unit
Environmental Protection Agency
Room 2922, Waterside Mall, SW
Washington, D.C. 20460

B. Director of Public Affairs, Region I
Environmental Protection Agency
John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

C. Director of Public Affairs, Region II
Environmental Protection Agency
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

D. Director of Public Affairs, Region III
Environmental Protection Agency
Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106

E. Director of Public Affairs, Region IV
Environmental Protection Agency
1421 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309

F. Director of Public Affairs, Region V
Environmental Protection Agency
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

G. Director of Public Affairs, Region VI
Environmental Protection Agency
1600 Patterson Street
Dallas, Texas 75201

H. Director of Public Affairs, Region VII
Environmental Protection Agency
1735 Baltimore Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64108

I. Director of Public Affairs, Region VIII
Environmental Protection Agency
1860 Lincoln Street
Denver, Colorado 80203

J. Director of Public Affairs, Region IX
Environmental Protection Agency
100 California Street
San Francisco, California 94111

K. Director of Public Affairs, Region X
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington, 98101

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

102 Monitor

The 102 Monitor is the monthly publication of the Council on Environmental Quality. In addition to its listing of environmental impact statements which have been filed with the Council under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Monitor contains a list of EPA's comments, filed under the provisions of section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended; items of current concern in NEPA case law; and information on the environmental studies of the Council.

The 102 Monitor is printed and distributed by the Government Printing Office. The subscription rate for the Monitor is $21.50 annually, with an additional $5.40 charge for foreign mailing; individual copies of the Monitor are $1.80 from GPO.

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

(Difficulties in obtaining copies of the 102 Monitor should be referred to GPO's Service Section, P.O. Box 1533, Washington, D.C. 20013)

Order Blank

To Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

Date ....., 19.....

Name .....

Street address .....

City .....

State ..... Zip Code .....

FOR USE OF SUPT. OF DOCS.	
.....	Enclosed .....
.....	To be mailed later .....
.....	Subscription .....
	Refund .....
	Coupon Refund .....
	Postage .....

CATALOG NO.	QUANTITY DESIRED	TITLE OF PUBLICATION	PRICE PER COPY	TOTAL
				$

FOR ADDITIONAL SPACE ATTACH ANOTHER SHEET. TOTAL AMOUNT ENCLOSED $.....

IMPORTANT

Please include your ZIP CODE when filling out the mailing label below.

.....

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
PUBLIC DOCUMENTS DEPARTMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20402

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

RETURN AFTER 5 DAYS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

Name .....

Street address .....

City and State ..... ZIP Code .....

*U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1975 211-480/6 1-3